

微積分 MA1001-A 上課筆記 (精簡版)

2018.11.06.

Ching-hsiao Arthur Cheng 鄭經敦

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function, and R be the region enclosed by the graph of the function f , the x -axis and straight lines $x = a$ and $x = b$. We are interested in the area of R which is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(R)$.

Partition $[a, b]$ into n sub-intervals with equal length, and let $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$, $x_i = a + i\Delta x$. By the Extreme Value Theorem, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ f attains its maximum and minimum on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$; thus for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $M_i, m_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ such that

$$f(M_i) = \text{the maximum of } f \text{ on } [x_{i-1}, x_i]$$

and

$$f(m_i) = \text{the minimum of } f \text{ on } [x_{i-1}, x_i].$$

The sum $S(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n f(M_i)\Delta x$ is called the upper sum of f for the partition $\{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$, and $s(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n f(m_i)\Delta x$ is called the lower sum of f for the partition $\{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$. By the definition of the upper sum and lower sum, we find that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(m_i)\Delta x \leq \mathcal{A}(R) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(M_i)\Delta x.$$

If the limits of the both sides exist and are identical as Δx approaches 0 (which is the same as n approaches infinity), by the Squeeze Theorem we can conclude that $\mathcal{A}(R)$ is the same as the limit.

If f is not continuous, then f might not attain its extrema on the interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$. In this case, it might be impossible to form the upper sum or the lower sum for a given partition. On the other hand, the left end-point rule $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})\Delta x$ and the right end-point rule $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\Delta x$ of approximating the area are always possible. We can even consider the “mid-point rule” approximation given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}\right)\Delta x$$

and consider the limit of the expression above as n approaches infinity.

In general, in order to find an approximation of $\mathcal{A}(R)$, the interval $[a, b]$ does not have to be divided into sub-intervals with equal length. Assume that $[a, b]$ are divided into n sub-intervals and the end-points of those sub-intervals are ordered as $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 <$

$\cdots < x_n = b$. The collection of end-points $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is called a **partition** of $[a, b]$. Then the “left end-point rule” approximation for the partition \mathcal{P} is given by

$$\ell(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and the “right end-point rule” approximation for the partition \mathcal{P} is given by

$$r(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}).$$

and the limit process as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the discussion above is replaced by the limit process as the norm of partition \mathcal{P} , denoted by $\|\mathcal{P}\|$ and defined by $\|\mathcal{P}\| \equiv \max \{x_i - x_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, approaches 0. Before discussing what the limits above mean, let us look at the following examples.

Example 4.4. Consider the region bounded by the graph of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and the x -axis for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Let $x_i = \frac{i^2}{n^2}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\}$. We note that

$$\|\mathcal{P}\| = \max \left\{ \frac{i^2 - (i-1)^2}{n^2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} = \max \left\{ \frac{2i-1}{n^2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} = \frac{2n-1}{n^2}$$

thus $\|\mathcal{P}\| \rightarrow 0$ is equivalent to that $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Using the right end-point rule (which is the same as the upper sum),

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{n} \frac{2i-1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (2i^2 - i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \left[\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{3} - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{2n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right); \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\lim_{\|\mathcal{P}\| \rightarrow 0} S(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{2n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Using the left end-point rule (which is the same as the lower sum),

$$\begin{aligned} s(\mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i-1}{n} \frac{2i-1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (2i^2 - 3i + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \left[\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{3} - \frac{3n(n+1)}{2} + n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{3}{2n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{n^2}; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\lim_{\|\mathcal{P}\| \rightarrow 0} s(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \frac{3}{2n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n^2} \right] = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Therefore, the area of the region of interest is $\frac{2}{3}$.

Example 4.5. In this example we use a different approach to compute $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{R})$ in Example 4.2. Assume that $0 < a < b$. Let $r = \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, $x_i = ar^i$, and $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$.

Claim: If $c > 1$, then $c^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as n approaches infinity.

Proof of the claim: If $c > 1$, then $c^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1$. Let $y_n = c^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$. Then $c = (1 + y_n)^n \geq 1 + ny_n$ which implies that $0 < y_n \leq \frac{c-1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Squeeze Theorem, $c^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Note that the claim above implies that $r \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $x_i - x_{i-1} = a(r^i - r^{i-1}) = ar^{i-1}(r - 1)$; thus

$$0 < a(r - 1) = x_1 - x_0 \leq \|\mathcal{P}\| = x_n - x_{n-1} = ar^{n-1}(r - 1) < b(r - 1).$$

Therefore, $\|\mathcal{P}\| \rightarrow 0$ is equivalent to that $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Using the “left end-point rule” approximation of the area,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{R}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i-1}^2 (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a^2 r^{2(i-1)} ar^{i-1} (r - 1) = a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n r^{3(i-1)} \\ &= a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r - 1) \frac{r^{3n} - 1}{r^3 - 1} = a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{b^3}{a^3} - 1}{r^2 + r + 1} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when applying the “right end-point rule” approximation, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (x_i - x_{i-1}) = a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n r^{3i} = a^3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r - 1) \frac{r^{3n+3} - r^3}{r^3 - 1} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3}.$$

This also gives the area of the region \mathbf{R} .

To compute an approximated value of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{R})$, there is no reason for evaluating the function at the left end-points or the right end-points like what we have discussed above. For example, we can also consider the “mid-point rule”

$$m(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i-1}}{2}\right) (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

to approximate the value of $\mathcal{A}(R)$, and compute the limit of the sum above as $\|\mathcal{P}\|$ approaches 0 in order to obtain $\mathcal{A}(R)$. In fact, we should be able to consider any point $c_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ and consider the limit of the sum

$$\lim_{\|\mathcal{P}\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

if the region R does have area.

Now let us forget about the concept of the area. For a general function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can also consider the limit above as $\|\mathcal{P}\|$ approaches 0, if the limit exists. The discussion above motivates the following definitions.

Definition 4.6: Partition of Intervals and Riemann Sums

A finite set $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is said to be a partition of the closed interval $[a, b]$ if $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$. Such a partition \mathcal{P} is usually denoted by $\{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n\}$. The norm of \mathcal{P} , denoted by $\|\mathcal{P}\|$, is the number $\max\{x_i - x_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$; that is,

$$\|\mathcal{P}\| \equiv \max\{x_i - x_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ is called regular if $x_i - x_{i-1} = \|\mathcal{P}\|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. A Riemann sum of f for the the partition $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ is a sum which takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where the set $\Xi = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ satisfies that $x_{i-1} \leq c_i \leq x_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 4.7: Riemann Integrals - 黎曼积分

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. f is said to be Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ if there exists a real number A such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if \mathcal{P} is partition of $[a, b]$ satisfying $\|\mathcal{P}\| < \delta$, then any Riemann sums for the partition \mathcal{P} belongs to the interval $(A - \varepsilon, A + \varepsilon)$. Such a number A (is unique and) is called the

Riemann integral of f on $[a, b]$ and is denoted by $\int_{[a,b]} f(x) dx$.

Remark 4.8. For conventional reason, the Riemann integral of f over the interval with left end-point a and right-end point b is written as $\int_a^b f(x) dx$, and is called the definite integral

of f from a to b . The function f sometimes is called the integrand of the integral.

We also note that here in the representation of the integral, x is a dummy variable; that is, we can use any symbol to denote the independent variable; thus

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(t) dt = \int_a^b f(u) du$$

and etc.