

微積分 MA1001-A 上課筆記 (精簡版)

2018.10.30.

Ching-hsiao Arthur Cheng 鄭經敦

3.7 Newton's Method

The Newton method is a numerical method for finding zeros of differentiable functions. Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function, and $c \in (a, b)$ is a zero of f . To find an approximated value of c , the Newton method is the following iterative scheme:

1. Make an initial estimate $x_1 \in (a, b)$ that is close to c .
2. Determine a new approximation using the iterative relation:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

3. When $|x_n - x_{n+1}|$ is within the desired accuracy, let x_{n+1} serve as the final approximation.

Example 3.32. To find the square root of a positive number A is equivalent to finding zeros of the function $f(x) = x^2 - A$ in $(0, \infty)$. The Newton method provides the iterative scheme

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n - \frac{x_n^2 - A}{2x_n} = \frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{A}{2x_n}$$

to find approximated value of \sqrt{A} .

It can be shown that when $\left| \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{f'(x)^2} \right| < 1$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then the Newton method produces a convergent sequence which approaches a zero in (a, b) .

Chapter 4. Integration

- **The Σ notation:** The sum of n -terms a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is written as $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. In other words,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n.$$

Here i is called the index of summation, a_i is the i -th terms of the sum.

- **Basic properties of sums:** $\sum_{i=1}^n (ka_i + b_i) = k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$.

Theorem 4.1: Summation Formula

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. \sum_{i=1}^n c = cn \text{ if } c \text{ is a constant;} & 2. \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}; \\ 3. \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}; & 4. \sum_{i=1}^n i^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}. \end{array}$$

4.1 The Area under the Graph of a Non-negative Continuous Function

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function, and R be the region enclosed by the graph of the function f , the x -axis and straight lines $x = a$ and $x = b$. We consider computing $\mathcal{A}(R)$, the area of R . Generally speaking, since the graph of $y = f(x)$ is in general not a straight line, the computation of $\mathcal{A}(R)$ is not straight-forward. How do we compute the area $\mathcal{A}(R)$?

Partition $[a, b]$ into n sub-intervals with equal length, and let $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$, $x_i = a + i\Delta x$. By the Extreme Value Theorem, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ f attains its maximum and minimum on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$; thus for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $M_i, m_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ such that

$$f(M_i) = \text{the maximum of } f \text{ on } [x_{i-1}, x_i]$$

and

$$f(m_i) = \text{the minimum of } f \text{ on } [x_{i-1}, x_i].$$

The sum $S(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n f(M_i)\Delta x$ is called the upper sum of f for the partition $\{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b\}$, and $s(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n f(m_i)\Delta x$ is called the lower sum of f for the partition

$\{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$. By the definition of the upper sum and lower sum, we find that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(m_i) \Delta x \leq \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(M_i) \Delta x.$$

If the limits of the both sides exist and are identical as Δx approaches 0 (which is the same as n approaches infinity), by the Squeeze Theorem we can conclude that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is the same as the limit.

Example 4.2. Let $f(x) = x^2$, and \mathbb{R} be the region enclosed by the graph of $y = f(x)$, the x axis, and the straight lines $x = a$ and $x = b$, where we assume that $0 \leq a < b$. Then the lower sum is obtained by the “left end-point rule” approximation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{(i-1)(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n}$$

and the upper sum is obtained by the “right end-point rule” approximation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{i(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n}.$$

By Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{i(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[a^2 + \frac{2a(b-a)i}{n} + \frac{a^2(b-a)^2 i^2}{n^2} \right] \frac{b-a}{n} \\ &= a^2(b-a) + \frac{a(b-a)^2 n(n+1)}{n^2} + \frac{a^2(b-a)^3 n(n+1)(2n+1)}{n^3 \cdot 6} \\ &= a^2(b-a) + a(b-a)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{a^2(b-a)^3}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{i(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n} = a^2(b-a) + a(b-a)^2 + \frac{a^2(b-a)^3}{3} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{(i-1)(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n} &= \frac{a^2(b-a)}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{i(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n} - \frac{b^2(b-a)}{n} \\ &= a^2(b-a) + \frac{a(b-a)^2 n(n+1)}{n^2} + \frac{a^2(b-a)^3 n(n+1)(2n+1)}{n^3 \cdot 6} + \frac{(a^2 - b^2)(b-a)}{n}; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a + \frac{(i-1)(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3}$.

Remark 4.3. Let \mathbb{R}_1 be the region enclosed by $f(x) = x^2$, the x -axis and $x = a$, the \mathbb{R}_2 be the region enclosed by $f(x) = x^2$, the x -axis and $x = b$, then intuitively $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_2) - \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_1)$ and this is true since $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_1) = \frac{a^3}{3}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_2) = \frac{b^3}{3}$.

If f is not continuous, then f might not attain its extrema on the interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$. In this case, it might be impossible to form the upper sum or the lower sum for a given partition. On the other hand, the left end-point rule $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})\Delta x$ and the right end-point rule $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\Delta x$ of approximating the area are always possible. We can even consider the “mid-point rule” approximation given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}\right)\Delta x$$

and consider the limit of the expression above as n approaches infinity.

4.2 Riemann Sums and Definite Integrals

In general, in order to find an approximation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, the interval $[a, b]$ does not have to be divided into sub-intervals with equal length. Assume that $[a, b]$ are divided into n sub-intervals and the end-points of those sub-intervals are ordered as $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b$, here the collection of end-points $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is called a **partition** of $[a, b]$. Then the “left end-point rule” approximation for the partition \mathcal{P} is given by

$$\ell(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and the “right end-point rule” approximation for the partition \mathcal{P} is given by

$$r(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}).$$