

§3.3 Partitions

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}\}$ be a partition of A with three sets. The equivalence relation Q associated with \mathcal{P} is $\{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$. The three equivalence classes for Q are $\bar{1} = \{1\}$, $\bar{2} = \bar{3} = \{2, 3\}$ and $\bar{4} = \{4\}$. The collection of all equivalence classes A/Q is precisely \mathcal{P} .

Example

The collection $\mathcal{P} = \{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3\}$, where

$$A_j = \{4k + j \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ for } j = \{0, 1, 2, 3\},$$

is a partition of \mathbb{Z} because of the division algorithm. The equivalence relation associated with the partition \mathcal{P} is the relation of congruence modulo 4, and each A_j is the residue class of j modulo 4 for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

§3.4 Modular Arithmetic

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer and a, b, c and d be integers. If $a = c \pmod{m}$ and $b = d \pmod{m}$, then $a + b = c + d \pmod{m}$ and $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod{m}$.

Proof.

Since $a = c \pmod{m}$ and $b = d \pmod{m}$, we have $a - c = mk_1$ and $b - d = mk_2$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$a + b = c + mk_1 + d + mk_2 = c + d + m(k_1 + k_2)$$

and

$$a \cdot b = (c + mk_1) \cdot (d + mk_2) = c \cdot d + m(c \cdot k_2 + d \cdot k_1 + k_1 \cdot k_2).$$

Therefore, $a + b = c + d \pmod{m}$ and $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod{m}$. \square

§3.4 Modular Arithmetic

Definition

For each natural number m ,

- 1 the **sum of the classes** \bar{x} and \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m , denoted by $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$, is defined to be the class containing the integer $x + y$;
- 2 the **product of the classes** \bar{x} and \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m , denoted by $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}$, is defined to be the class containing the integer $x \cdot y$.

In symbols, $\bar{x} + \bar{y} = \overline{x + y}$ and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \overline{x \cdot y}$.

Example

In \mathbb{Z}_6 , $\bar{5} + \bar{3} = \bar{2}$ and $\bar{4} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{2}$.

Example

In \mathbb{Z}_8 , $(\bar{5} + \bar{7}) \cdot (\bar{6} + \bar{5}) = \bar{12} \cdot \bar{11} = \bar{4} \cdot \bar{3} = \bar{12} = \bar{4}$.

§3.4 Modular Arithmetic

Example

Find $\overline{3^{63}}$ in \mathbb{Z}_7 . Since

$$\overline{3^1} = \overline{3}, \quad \overline{3^2} = \overline{2}, \quad \overline{3^3} = \overline{6}, \quad \overline{3^4} = \overline{4}, \quad \overline{3^5} = \overline{5}, \quad \overline{3^6} = \overline{1},$$

we have $\overline{3^{63}} = \overline{3^{60} \cdot 3^3} = \overline{6}$.

Example

For every integer k , 6 divides $k^3 + 5k$. In fact, by the division algorithm, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a unique pair (q, r) such that $k = 6q + r$ for some $0 \leq r < 6$. Therefore, in \mathbb{Z}_6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k^3 + 5k} &= \overline{(6q + r)^3 + 5(6q + r)} = \overline{r^3 + 5 \cdot r} \\ &= \overline{r^3 + (-1) \cdot r} = \overline{r^3 - r}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that then $\overline{k^3 + 5k} = \overline{0}$ since

$$\overline{0^3 - 0} = \overline{1^3 - 1} = \overline{2^3 - 2} = \overline{3^3 - 3} = \overline{4^3 - 4} = \overline{5^3 - 5}.$$

§3.4 Modular Arithmetic

Theorem

Let m be a positive composite integer. Then there exists non-zero equivalence classes \bar{x} and \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m such that $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{0}$.

Proof.

Since m is a positive composite integer, $m = x \cdot y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < x, y < m$. Since $1 < x, y < m$, $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \neq \bar{0}$. Therefore, in \mathbb{Z}_m $\bar{0} = \bar{m} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}$ which concludes the theorem. \square

Theorem

Let p be a prime. If $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{0}$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , then either $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{y} = \bar{0}$.

Proof.

Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{0}$. Then $x \cdot y = 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, p divides $x \cdot y$. Since p is prime, $p|x$ or $p|y$ which implies that $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{y} = \bar{0}$. \square

§3.4 Modular Arithmetic

Theorem

Let p be a prime. If $xy = xz \pmod{p}$ and $x \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, then $y = z \pmod{p}$.

Proof.

If $xy = xz \pmod{p}$, then $x(y - z) = 0 \pmod{p}$. By the previous theorem $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ or $\overline{y - z} = \bar{0}$. Since $x \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, we must have $\bar{y} = \bar{z}$; thus $y = z \pmod{p}$. \square

Corollary (Cancellation Law for \mathbb{Z}_p)

Let p be a prime, and $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z}$, then $\bar{x} \neq \bar{0}$ or $\bar{y} = \bar{z}$.