

Exercise Problem Sets 4

Oct. 07. 2022

Problem 1. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 1$.

1. Show the law of exponents holds (for rational exponents); that is, show that

(a) if r, s in \mathbb{Q} , then $b^{r+s} = b^r \cdot b^s$.

(b) if r, s in \mathbb{Q} , then $b^{r \cdot s} = (b^r)^s$.

2. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $B(x) = \{b^t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq x\}$. Show that $\sup B(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $b^r = \sup B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

3. Define $b^x = \sup B(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that $B(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the law of exponents (for exponents in \mathbb{R})

(a) if x, y in \mathbb{R} , then $b^{x+y} = b^x \cdot b^y$, (b) if $x, y > 0$, then $b^{x \cdot y} = (b^x)^y$,

are also valid.

4. Show that if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_1 < x_2$, then $b^{x_1} < b^{x_2}$. This implies that if x_1, x_2 are two numbers in \mathbb{R} satisfying $b^{x_1} = b^{x_2}$, then $x_1 = x_2$.

5. Let $y > 0$ be given. Show that if $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b^u < y$ and $b^v > y$, then $b^{u+1/n} < y$ and $b^{v-1/n} > y$ for sufficiently large n .

6. Let $y > 0$ be given, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all w such that $b^w < y$. Show that $\sup A$ exists and $x = \sup A$ satisfies $b^x = y$. The number x (the uniqueness is guaranteed by 4) satisfying $b^x = y$ is called the logarithm of y to the base b , and is denoted by $\log_b y$.

Hint: Make use of Problem 3 in Exercise 2.

Proof. We note that \mathbb{R} satisfies Archimedean property and the least upper bound property.

1. Note that the exponential law holds if the exponents are integers; that is,

$$b^{n+m} = b^n \cdot b^m \quad \text{and} \quad b^{nm} = (b^n)^m \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we “define” $b^{\frac{n}{m}}$ as the n -th power of $b^{\frac{1}{m}}$; that is, $b^{\frac{n}{m}} = (b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n$. Then for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[(b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n]^m = (b^{\frac{1}{m}})^{mn} = b^{\frac{mn}{m}} = b^n$$

which implies that $(b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n$ is the m -th root of b^n if $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $(b^{\frac{1}{mn}})^n = b^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $(b^{\frac{1}{mn}})^m = b^{\frac{1}{n}}$; thus we establish that

$$b^{\frac{n}{m}} = (b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n = (b^n)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad b^{\frac{1}{mn}} = (b^{\frac{1}{m}})^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Suppose that $r = \frac{q_1}{p_1}$ and $s = \frac{q_2}{p_2}$, where $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (\spadesuit) implies that

$$(b^r)^s = \left(b^{\frac{q_1}{p_1}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\right)^{\frac{q_1 q_2}{p_2}} = \left[\left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}\right]^{q_1 q_2} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1 p_2}}\right)^{q_1 q_2} = b^{\frac{q_1 q_2}{p_1 p_2}}$$

and

$$b^{r+s} = b^{\frac{p_2 q_1 + p_1 q_2}{p_1 p_2}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1 p_2}}\right)^{p_2 q_1 + p_1 q_2} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1 p_2}}\right)^{p_2 q_1} \cdot \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1 p_2}}\right)^{p_1 q_2} = b^{\frac{p_2 q_1}{p_1 p_2}} \cdot b^{\frac{p_1 q_2}{p_1 p_2}} = b^r \cdot b^s.$$

Therefore,

$$b^{r+s} = b^r \cdot b^s \quad \text{and} \quad b^{rs} = (b^r)^s \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad r, s > 0. \quad (\heartsuit)$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r < 0$, we define $b^r = (b^{-r})^{-1}$. Then if $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r, s < 0$, we have

$$b^{r+s} = (b^{-(r+s)})^{-1} = (b^{-r} \cdot b^{-s})^{-1} = (b^{-r})^{-1} \cdot (b^{-s})^{-1} = b^r \cdot b^s$$

and

$$(b^r)^s = [(b^{-r})^{-1}]^s.$$

2. First we show that $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(x)$ is non-empty and bounded from above. By the Archimedean Property, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $-x < n$. Therefore, there exists a rational number $-n$ such that $-n < x$; thus $b^{-n} \in B(x)$ which implies that $B(x)$ is non-empty.

On the other hand, the Archimedean Property implies that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x < m$. By the fact that

$$b^t \leq b^s \quad \text{whenever} \quad t \leq s \quad \text{and} \quad t, s \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad (*)$$

we conclude that b^m is an upper bound for $B(x)$. Therefore, $B(x)$ is bounded from above. By the least upper bound property, we conclude that $\sup B(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next we show that $b^r = \sup B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. To see this, we note that $b^r \in B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, (*) implies that b^r is an upper bound for $B(r)$; thus $\sup B(r) = b^r$.

3. We first show that

$$\sup(cA) = c \cdot \sup A \quad \forall c > 0, \quad (\star)$$

where $cA = \{c \cdot x \mid x \in A\}$. To see (\star), we observe that

$$x \in A \Rightarrow x \leq \sup A \Rightarrow c \cdot x \leq c \cdot \sup A \quad (\text{by the compatibility of } \cdot \text{ and } \leq);$$

thus every element in cA is bounded from above by $c \cdot \sup A$. Therefore,

$$\sup(cA) \leq c \cdot \sup A.$$

On the other hand, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $x \in A$ and $x > \sup A - \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$. Therefore, $c \cdot x > c \cdot \sup A - \varepsilon$; thus

$$\sup(cA) \geq c \cdot x > c \cdot \sup A - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is given arbitrarily, we find that $\sup(cA) \geq c \cdot \sup A$; thus (\star) is concluded.

Next we show that

$$\sup \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq x\} = \inf \{b^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \geq x\}. \quad (\diamond)$$

Let $S(x) = \{b^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \geq x\}$. If $b^t \in B(x)$, then b^t is a lower bound for $S(x)$. Therefore, $B(x)$ is a subset of the collection of all lower bounds for $S(x)$. By Problem 3 of Exercise 2,

$$\sup B(x) \leq \sup \{y \mid y \text{ is a lower bound for } S(x)\} = \inf S(x).$$

Suppose that $\sup B(x) < \inf S(x)$. Since $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \searrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Problem 3 of Exercise 2), there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\inf S(x) > b^{\frac{1}{n}} \sup B(x)$. By the fact that there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x \leq r \leq x + \frac{1}{n}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf S(x) &> b^{\frac{1}{n}} \sup B(x) = \sup \{b^{r+\frac{1}{n}} \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \leq x\} = \sup \left\{ b^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \leq x + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \\ &\geq b^r \geq \inf \{b^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \geq x\} = \inf S(x), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Observe that

$$\sup A^{-1} = (\inf A)^{-1} \quad \text{for every subset } A \text{ of } (0, \infty),$$

where $A^{-1} = \{t^{-1} \mid t \in A\}$ and $(0, \infty)$ is the collection consisting of positive elements in \mathbb{R} . Therefore, (\diamond) implies that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} b^{-x} &= \sup \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq -x\} = \sup \{b^{-t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \geq x\} = \left[\inf \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \geq x\} \right]^{-1} \\ &= (b^x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show the law of exponential

$$b^x \cdot b^y = b^{x+y} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\star\star)$$

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. If $t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $t \leq x$, $s \leq y$, then $t + s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $t + s \leq x + y$; thus

$$b^t \cdot b^s = b^{t+s} \leq \sup B(x + y) = b^{x+y}.$$

For any given rational $t \leq x$, taking the supremum of the left-hand side over all rational $s \leq y$ and using (\star) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} b^{-x} &= \sup \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq -x\} = \sup \{b^{-t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \geq x\} = \left[\inf \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \geq x\} \right]^{-1} \\ &= (b^x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of the left-hand side over all rational $t \leq x$, using (\star) again we find that

$$b^y \cdot b^x = b^y \cdot \sup \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq x\} = \sup \{b^{t+y} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq x\} \leq b^{x+y};$$

thus we establish that

$$b^x \cdot b^y \leq b^{x+y} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\diamond\diamond)$$

Now, note that $(\diamond\diamond)$ implies that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$b^y = b^{-x+x+y} \geq b^{-x} \cdot b^{x+y} = (b^x)^{-1} \cdot b^{x+y} \geq (b^x)^{-1} \cdot b^x \cdot b^y = b^y.$$

The inequality above is indeed an equality and we obtain that

$$b^y = b^{-x} b^{x+y} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is indeed $(\star\star)$ because of that $b^{-x} = (b^x)^{-1}$.

Next we show that $(b^x)^y = \sup B(x \cdot y)$ for all $x > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. For $z > 0$, define $A(z) = \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < s \leq z\}$. Note that if $z > 0$, then $b^z = \sup A(z)$. Since for $x > 0$, we have $b^x > 1$; thus for $x, y > 0$,

$$(b^x)^y = \sup \{(b^x)^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < t \leq y\} = \sup_{t \in A(y)} (b^x)^t = \sup_{t \in A(y)} \left(\sup_{s \in A(x)} b^s \right)^t.$$

By Problem 5 of Exercise 2,

$$\sup_{t \in A(y)} \left(\sup_{s \in A(x)} b^s \right)^t = \sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} (b^s)^t = \sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} b^{st} = b^{\sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} ts} = b^{xy}.$$

4. Let $x_1 < x_2$ be given. Then **AP** implies that there exists $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x_1 < r < s < x_2$. Therefore, $B(x_1) \subseteq B(r) \subseteq B(s) \subseteq B(x_2)$; thus

$$b^{x_1} = \sup B(x_1) \leq \sup B(r) \leq \sup B(s) \leq \sup B(x_2) = b^{x_2}.$$

Since $B(r) = b^r$ and $B(s) = b^s$, we must have $B(r) < B(s)$; thus 4 is concluded.

5. Since $\frac{y}{b^u} > 1$ and $\frac{b^v}{y} > 1$, by the fact that $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist $N_1, N_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| b^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right| < \frac{y}{b^u} - 1 \quad \text{whenever } n \geq N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| b^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right| < \frac{b^v}{y} - 1 \quad \text{whenever } n \geq N_2.$$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. For $n \geq N$, we have $b^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{y}{b^u}$ and $b^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{b^v}{y}$ or equivalently,

$$b^{u+\frac{1}{n}} < y \quad \text{and} \quad b^{v-\frac{1}{n}} > y \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

6. Let $A = \{w \in \mathbb{R} \mid b^w < y\}$. Since $b > 1$, 2 of Problem 3 in Exercise 2 implies that

$$b^n > 1 + n(b-1) \quad \text{whenever } n \geq 2. \quad (\star\star\star)$$

By **AP**, there exists $N \geq 2$ such that $1 + N(b-1) > y$; thus A is bounded from above by N . Moreover, there exists $M \geq 2$ such that

$$1 + M(b-1) > \frac{1}{y};$$

thus (***) implies that $b^{-M} < y$ or $-M \in A$. Therefore, A is non-empty. By **LUBP**, we conclude that $\sup A$ exists.

Let $x = \sup A$. Then $x + \frac{1}{n} \notin A$; thus $b^{x+\frac{1}{n}} \geq y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$b^x = b^x \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{x+\frac{1}{n}} \geq y.$$

On the other hand, 4 implies that $x - \frac{1}{n} \in A$; thus $b^{x-\frac{1}{n}} < y$ for all $n \in \infty$ and we have

$$b^x = b^x \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{-\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{x-\frac{1}{n}} \leq y.$$

Therefore, $b^x = y$. □

Problem 2. In this problem we prove the Intermediate Value Theorem:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (at every point of $[a, b]$); that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) \quad \text{for all convergent sequence } \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, b].$$

If $f(a)f(b) < 0$, then there exists $c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) = 0$.

Complete the following.

1. W.L.O.G, we can assume that $f(a) < 0$. Define the set $S = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f(x) > 0\}$. Show that $\inf S$ exists.
2. Let $c = \inf S$. Show that $f(c) \geq 0$.
3. Conclude that $f(c) \leq 0$ as well.

Hint:

1. Show that S is non-empty and bounded from below.
2. Show that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S such that $c_n \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. Show that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $[a, c)$ such that $c_n \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. 1. Since $f(b) > 0$, $b \in S$. Moreover, a is a lower bound for S ; thus S is non-empty and bounded from below. By the completeness of \mathbb{R} , $\inf S \in \mathbb{R}$ exists.

2. Let $c = \inf S$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_n < c + \frac{1}{n}$ and $c_n \in S$. Then $f(c_n) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$c \leq c_n < c + \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the Sandwich Lemma implies that $c_n \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the continuity of f ,

$$f(c) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) \geq 0.$$

3. By 2, $a \neq c$. Consider the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by $c_n = c - \frac{c-a}{n}$. Then $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [a, c)$. Moreover, by the fact that $c = \inf S$ and $c_n < c$, $c_n \notin S$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $f(c_n) \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $c_n \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the continuity of f we find that

$$f(c) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) \leq 0. \quad \square$$

Problem 3. In this problem we prove the Extreme Value Theorem:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (at every point of $[a, b]$); that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) \quad \text{for all convergent sequence } \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [a, b].$$

Then there exist $c, d \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ and $f(d) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

Complete the following.

1. Show that there exist sequences $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $[a, b]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d_n) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x).$$

2. Extract convergent subsequences $\{c_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with limit c and d , respectively. Show that $c, d \in [a, b]$.
3. Show that $f(c) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ and $f(d) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the case of $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ since $\inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) = -\sup_{x \in [a, b]} (-f)(x)$ by Problem 2 of Exercise 3.

1. We first show that $f([a, b])$ is bounded. Suppose the contrary that $f([a, b])$ is not bounded. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(x_n)| > n$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [a, b]$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. By the fact that **MSP** \Rightarrow **BWP**, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. By the continuity of f , $\{f(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is also convergent; thus Proposition 1.39 in the lecture note implies that $\{f(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded, a contradiction to that $|f(x_{n_k})| \geq n_k \geq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $f([a, b])$ is bounded, $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ exists. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_n \in [a, b]$ such that

$$M - \frac{1}{n} < f(c_n) \leq M.$$

By the Sandwich Lemma, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) = M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

2. Since $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [a, b]$, $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. By the fact that **MSP** \Rightarrow **BWP**, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{c_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with limit c . Since $a \leq c_{n_k} \leq b$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by a Proposition that we talked about in class we conclude that $a \leq c \leq b$.

3. Since $c_{n_k} \rightarrow c$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the continuity of f implies that

$$f(c) = f\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{n_k}\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(c_{n_k}) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x).$$

□

Problem 4. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences in \mathbb{R} . Prove the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n; \\ (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n|) (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y_n|) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n y_n| \leq (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n|) (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y_n|) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n y_n| \leq (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n|) (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y_n|). \end{aligned}$$

Give examples showing that the equalities are generally not true.

Proof. 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Note that for $n \geq k$, we have

$$\inf_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) \leq x_n + y_n \leq \sup_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n).$$

Note that the LHS and the RHS are functions of k and is independent of n . Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \geq k} \left[\inf_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) - y_n \right] \leq \inf_{n \geq k} x_n \leq \inf_{n \geq k} \left[\sup_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) - y_n \right]$$

which further shows that

$$\inf_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) - \sup_{n \geq k} y_n \leq \inf_{n \geq k} x_n \leq \sup_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) - \sup_{n \geq k} y_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) \leq \inf_{n \geq k} x_n + \sup_{n \geq k} y_n \leq \sup_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the first inequality follows from the fact that

$$\inf_{n \geq k} x_n + \inf_{n \geq k} y_n \leq \inf_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) \leq \inf_{n \geq k} x_n + \sup_{n \geq k} y_n \leq \sup_{n \geq k} (x_n + y_n) \leq \sup_{n \geq k} x_n + \sup_{n \geq k} y_n$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Note that for $n \geq k$, we have

$$\inf_{n \geq k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \leq |x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq \sup_{n \geq k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right].$$

Note that the LHS and the RHS for functions of k and is independent of n . Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \geq k} \frac{\inf_{n \geq k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}} \leq \inf_{n \geq k} |x_n| \leq \inf_{n \geq k} \frac{\sup_{n \geq k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}}.$$

By the fact that

$$\inf_{n \geq k} \frac{1}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}} = \frac{1}{\sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})},$$

we find that

$$\frac{\inf_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})]}{\sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})} \leq \inf_{n \geq k} |x_n| \leq \inf_{n \geq k} \frac{\sup_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})]}{\sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})};$$

thus

$$\inf_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})] \leq \inf_{n \geq k} |x_n| \sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}) \leq \sup_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})].$$

The second inequality follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{n \geq k} |x_n| \inf_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}) &\leq \inf_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})] \leq \inf_{n \geq k} |x_n| \sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}) \\ &\leq \sup_{n \geq k} [|x_n| (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k})] \leq \sup_{n \geq k} |x_n| \sup_{n \geq k} (|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}) \end{aligned}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Let $x_n = 2 + \sin n$ and $y_n = 2 + \cos n$. Then $x_n, y_n > 0$, and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 1, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 3.$$

By Problem 3, the set $\{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid x = k \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $[0, 2\pi]$; thus for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{k_j} = k_j \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\{x_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converges to θ . This implies that for each $\theta \in [-1, 1]$, there exists a subsequence $\{\cos k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \cos n_j = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sin n_j = \sin \theta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) = 4 - \sqrt{2}, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) = 4 + \sqrt{2},$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = \frac{9}{2} - 2\sqrt{2}, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = \frac{9}{2} + 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \\ &< \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \cdot \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n y_n) < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \\ &< \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n y_n) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equalities are generally not true. □

Problem 5. Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}.$$

Give examples to show that the equalities are not true in general. Is it true that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|}$ exists implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$ also exists?

Proof. W.L.O.G. we can assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} > 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} < \infty$. Let $a = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$ and $b = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given such that $a - \varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$a - \varepsilon < \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} < b + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Therefore,

$$(a - \varepsilon)|x_n| < |x_{n+1}| < (b + \varepsilon)|x_n| \quad \forall n \geq N$$

which implies that if $n > N$,

$$|x_n| > (a - \varepsilon)|x_{n-1}| > (a - \varepsilon)^2|x_{n-2}| > \cdots > (a - \varepsilon)^{n-N}|x_N|$$

and

$$|x_n| < (b + \varepsilon)|x_{n-1}| < (b + \varepsilon)^2|x_{n-2}| < \cdots < (b + \varepsilon)^{n-N}|x_N|.$$

The inequality above implies that

$$(a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} < \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} < (b + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|};$$

thus

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(b + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right].$$

By Problem 3 of Exercise 2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ for all $b > 0$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} = a - \varepsilon = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} - \varepsilon$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(b + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} = b + \varepsilon = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} + \varepsilon.$$

Since the inequality above holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}.$$

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence defined by

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 2^{-n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 4^{-n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

or $x_n = (3 + (-1)^n)^{-n}$. Then $\sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = 3 + (-1)^n$ which shows that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

To compute the limit superior and limit inferior of $\frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$, we define

$$y_n = \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = \frac{(3 + (-1)^{n+1})^{-n-1}}{(3 + (-1)^n)^{-n}} = \frac{1}{3 - (-1)^n} \left(\frac{3 - (-1)^n}{3 + (-1)^n} \right)^{-n}$$

and observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2n} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2n+1} = \infty$. Since $y_n \in [0, \infty)$, we conclude that 0 is the smallest cluster point of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ∞ is the largest “cluster point” of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This shows that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = \infty. \quad \square$$

Problem 6. Given the following sets consisting of elements of some sequence of real numbers. Find the limsup and liminf of the sequence.

1. $\{\cos m \mid m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
2. $\{\sqrt[n]{|\sin m|} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}$.
3. $\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \sin \frac{m\pi}{6} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$.

Hint: 1. First show that for all irrational α , the set

$$S = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid x = k\alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in $[0, 1]$; that is, for all $y \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in S \cap (y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. Then choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ to conclude that

$$T = \{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid x = k \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in $[0, 2\pi]$. To prove that S is dense in $[0, 1]$, you might want to consider the following set

$$S_k = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid x = \ell\alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq k + 1\}$$

Note that there must be two points in S_k whose distance is less than $\frac{1}{k}$. What happened to (the multiples of) the difference of these two points?

2. Use the fact that π is a Liouville number; that is, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \pi - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q^d} \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0.$$

Proof. 1. Define $S_k = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid x = \ell\alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq k + 1\}$. Let $1 \leq \ell_1, \ell_2 \leq k + 1$, and $x, y \in [0, 1]$ satisfying that $x = \ell_1\alpha \pmod{1}$ and $y = \ell_2\alpha \pmod{1}$. Then by the fact that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$,

$$x = y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ell_1\alpha = \ell_2\alpha \pmod{1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\ell_1 - \ell_2)\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ell_1 - \ell_2 = 0.$$

Therefore, there are $(k+1)$ distinct points in S_k (this also shows that each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to different point $x = k\alpha \pmod{1}$ in S). Moreover, $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ if $x \in S_k$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist x, y in S_k satisfying that $0 < |x - y| < \frac{1}{k}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$. By the discussion above, there exist $x, y \in S_n$ such that $0 < |x - y| < \varepsilon$. Suppose that $x = n_1\alpha \pmod{1}$ and $y = n_2\alpha \pmod{1}$, and define $m = |n_1 - n_2|$. The point $z \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $z = m\alpha \pmod{1}$ has the property that $z \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$. Therefore,

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists x \in S)(x \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)).$$

Let $y \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. The discussion above provides an $x \in (0, 1)$ such that $x = k\alpha \pmod{1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$. Then some constant multiple of x must belong to $(y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. If $\ell x \in (y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$, then $z = k\ell\alpha \pmod{1}$ in $(y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. This shows that S is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Having established that S is dense in $[0, 1]$, we find that T is dense in $[0, 2\pi]$. Therefore, for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{m_j} = m_j \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\{x_{m_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$ converges to θ . In particular, for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \cos m_j = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sin m_j = \sin \theta;$$

thus we conclude that $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \cos m = 1$ and $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \cos m = -1$.

2. Since π is not a Liouville number, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \pi - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q^d} \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0. \quad (0.1)$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} |q\pi - m| = |q_m\pi - m|. \quad (0.2)$$

Such q_m exists since the infimum indeed occurs in a finite set of \mathbb{N} . Using (0.1), we find that

$$\frac{1}{q_m^{d-1}} \leq |q_m\pi - m| \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, because of (0.2) we must have

$$|q_m\pi - m| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \forall m \gg 1 \quad (\text{in fact, } m \geq 6 \text{ is enough})$$

since we cannot have $|q_m\pi - m| > \frac{\pi}{2}$, $|(q_m+1)\pi - m| > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $|(q_m-1)\pi - m| > \frac{\pi}{2}$ simultaneously. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{q_m^{d-1}} \leq |q_m\pi - m| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \forall m \gg 1 \quad (0.3)$$

which, together with the inequality $\frac{2}{\pi}x \leq \sin x$ for all $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, further shows that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{q_m^{d-1}} \leq \sin \frac{1}{q_m^{d-1}} \leq |\sin m| \leq 1 \quad \forall m \gg 1. \quad (0.4)$$

The inequality above shows that

$$\left(\frac{2}{\pi q_m^{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \sqrt[m]{|\sin m|} \leq 1 \quad \forall m \gg 1.$$

Since (0.3) implies that $\frac{m}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \leq q_m \leq \frac{m}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$ for all $m \gg 1$, the fact that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{m}{\pi} \pm \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} = 1$$

and the Sandwich Lemma show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m^{\frac{1}{m}} = 1.$$

Passing to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (0.4), we conclude that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{|\sin m|} = 1$. This shows that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{|\sin m|} = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{|\sin m|} = 1.$$

3. Let $x_m = \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \sin \frac{m\pi}{6}$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) = 1 > 0$ and there are seven cluster points, $\{\pm 1, \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 0\}$, of the sequence $\{\sin \frac{m\pi}{6}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, we expect that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \sin \frac{m\pi}{6} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \sin \frac{m\pi}{6} = -1.$$

To see that our expectation is in fact true, we let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and observe that

$$\#\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_m > 1 + \varepsilon\} \leq \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] + 1 < \infty$$

while the set $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_m > 1 + \varepsilon\} \supseteq \{12k + 3 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ so that

$$\#\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_m > 1 + \varepsilon\} = \infty.$$

Therefore, Proposition 1.98 shows that 1 is the limit superior of $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$. Similarly, -1 is the limit inferior of $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$. \square