Exercise Problem Sets 5

Problem 1. Let $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, \leq)$ be an ordered field satisfying the monotone sequence property, $b \in \mathbb{F}$ and b > 1.

- 1. Show the law of exponents holds (for rational exponents); that is, show that
 - (a) if r, s in \mathbb{Q} , then $b^{r+s} = b^r \cdot b^s$.
 - (b) if r, s in \mathbb{Q} , then $b^{r \cdot s} = (b^r)^s$.
- 2. For $x \in \mathbb{F}$, let $B(x) = \{b^t \in \mathbb{F} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leq x\}$. Show that $\sup B(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$, and $b^r = \sup B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- 3. Define $b^x = \sup B(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}$. Show that B(x) > 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$ and the law of exponents (for exponents in \mathbb{F})

(a) if x, y in \mathbb{F} , then $b^{x+y} = b^x \cdot b^y$, (b) if x, y > 0, then $b^{x \cdot y} = (b^x)^y$,

are also valid.

- 4. Show that if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x_1 < x_2$, then $b^{x_1} < b^{x_2}$. This implies that if x_1, x_2 are two numbers in \mathbb{F} satisfying $b^{x_1} = b^{x_2}$, then $x_1 = x_2$.
- 5. Let y > 0 be given. Show that if $u, v \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $b^u < y$ and $b^v > y$, then $b^{u+1/n} < y$ and $b^{v-1/n} > y$ for sufficiently large n.
- 6. Let y > 0 be given, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ be the set of all w such that $b^w < y$. Show that $\sup A$ exists and $x = \sup A$ satisfies $b^x = y$. The number x (the uniqueness is guaranteed by 4) satisfying $b^x = y$ is called the logarithm of y to the base b, and is denoted by $\log_b y$.

Hint: Make use of Problem 2 in Exercise 3.

Proof. We note that \mathbb{F} also satisfies the Archimedean Property and the least upper bound property because of a Proposition and a Theorem that we talked about in class.

1. Note that the exponential law holds if the exponents are integers; that is,

 $b^{n+m} = b^n \cdot b^m$ and $b^{nm} = (b^n)^m$ $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we "define" $b^{\frac{n}{m}}$ as the *n*-th power of $b^{\frac{1}{m}}$; that is, $b^{\frac{n}{m}} = (b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n$. Then for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left[\left(b^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{n}\right]^{m} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{mn} = b^{\frac{mn}{m}} = b^{n}$$

which implies that $(b^{\frac{1}{m}})^n$ is the *m*-th root of b^n if $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $(b^{\frac{1}{mn}})^n = b^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $(b^{\frac{1}{mn}})^m = b^{\frac{1}{n}}$; thus we establish that

$$b^{\frac{n}{m}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^n = \left(b^n\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$
 and $b^{\frac{1}{mn}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$ (\clubsuit)

Suppose that $r = \frac{q_1}{p_1}$ and $s = \frac{q_2}{p_2}$, where $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (\clubsuit) implies that

$$(b^{r})^{s} = \left(b^{\frac{q_{1}}{p_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{q_{2}}{p_{2}}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{p_{2}}} = \left[\left(b^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}}\right]^{q_{1}q_{2}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_{1}p_{2}}}\right)^{q_{1}q_{2}} = b^{\frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{p_{1}p_{2}}}$$

and

$$b^{r+s} = b^{\frac{p_2q_1+p_1q_2}{p_1p_2}} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1p_2}}\right)^{p_2q_1+p_1q_2} = \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1p_2}}\right)^{p_2q_1} \cdot \left(b^{\frac{1}{p_1p_2}}\right)^{p_1q_2} = b^{\frac{p_2q_1}{p_1p_2}} \cdot b^{\frac{p_1q_2}{p_1p_2}} = b^r \cdot b^s.$$

Therefore,

$$b^{r+s} = b^r \cdot b^s$$
 and $b^{rs} = (b^r)^s$ $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r, s > 0$. (\heartsuit)

For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and r < 0, we define $b^r = (b^{-r})^{-1}$. Then if $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and r, s < 0, we have

$$b^{r+s} = (b^{-(r+s)})^{-1} = (b^{-r} \cdot b^{-s})^{-1} = (b^{-r})^{-1} \cdot (b^{-s})^{-1} = b^r \cdot b^s$$

and

$$(b^r)^s = \left[(b^{-r})^{-1} \right]^s$$

2. First we show that $x \in \mathbb{F}$, B(x) is non-empty and bounded from above. By the Archimedean Property, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that -x < n. Therefore, there exists a rational number -n such that -n < x; thus $b^{-n} \in B(x)$ which implies that B(x) is non-empty.

On the other hand, the Archimedean Property implies that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x < m. By the fact that

$$b^t \leq b^s$$
 whenever $t \leq s$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$, (*)

we conclude that b^m is an upper bound for B(x). Therefore, B(x) is bounded from above. By the least upper bound property, we conclude that $\sup B(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$.

Next we show that $b^r = \sup B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. To see this, we note that $b^r \in B(r)$ if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. On theother hand, (*) implies that b^r is an upper bound for B(r); thus $\sup B(r) = b^r$.

3. We first show that

$$\sup(cA) = c \cdot \sup A \qquad \forall c > 0, \qquad (\star)$$

where $cA = \{c \cdot x \mid x \in A\}$. To see (*), we observe that

$$x \in A \Rightarrow x \leq \sup A \Rightarrow c \cdot x \leq c \cdot \sup A$$
 (by the compatibility of \cdot and \leq);

thus every element in cA is bounded from above by $c \cdot \sup A$. Therefore,

$$\sup(cA) \leqslant c \cdot \sup A \,.$$

On the other hand, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $x \in A$ and $x > \sup A - \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$. Therefore, $c \cdot x > c \cdot \sup A - \varepsilon$; thus

$$\sup(cA) \ge c \cdot x > c \cdot \sup A - \varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is given arbitrarily, we find that $\sup(cA) \ge c \cdot \sup A$; thus (\star) is concluded.

Next we show that

$$\sup\left\{b^{t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leqslant x\right\} = \inf\left\{b^{s} \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \geqslant x\right\}.$$
(\diamond)

Let $S(x) = \{b^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \ge x\}$. If $b^t \in B(x)$, then b^t is a lower bound for S(x). Therefore, B(x) is a subset of the collection of all lower bounds for S(x). By Problem 3 of Exercise 2,

$$\sup B(x) \leq \sup \{y \mid y \text{ is a lower bound for } S(x)\} = \inf S(x).$$

Suppose that $\sup B(x) < \inf S(x)$. Since $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \searrow 1$ as $n \to \infty$ (Problem 4 of Exercise 1), there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\inf S(x) > b^{\frac{1}{n}} \sup B(x)$. By the fact that there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x \leq r \leq x + \frac{1}{n}$, we find that

$$\inf S(x) > b^{\frac{1}{n}} \sup B(x) = \sup \left\{ b^{r+\frac{1}{n}} \, \big| \, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \leqslant x \right\} = \sup \left\{ b^{s} \, \big| \, s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \leqslant x + \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$
$$\geqslant b^{r} \geqslant \inf \left\{ b^{s} \, \big| \, s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \geqslant x \right\} = \inf S(x) \,,$$

a contradiction. Observe that

$$\sup A^{-1} = (\inf A)^{-1}$$
 for every subset A of $(0, \infty)$.

where $A^{-1} = \{t^{-1} | t \in A\}$ and $(0, \infty)$ is the collection consisting of positive elements in \mathbb{F} . Therefore, (\diamond) implies that for $x \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$b^{-x} = \sup \left\{ b^t \, \big| \, t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \le -x \right\} = \sup \left\{ b^{-t} \, \big| \, t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \ge x \right\} = \left[\inf \left\{ b^t \, \big| \, t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \ge x \right\} \right]^{-1} = (b^x)^{-1}.$$

Now we show the law of exponential

$$b^{x} \cdot b^{y} = b^{x+y} \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{F}.$$
(**)

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ be given. If $t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $t \leq x, s \leq y$, then $t + s \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $t + s \leq x + y$; thus

$$b^t \cdot b^s = b^{t+s} \leq \sup B(x+y) = b^{x+y}$$
.

For any given rational $t \leq x$, taking the supremum of the left-hand side over all rational $s \leq y$ and using (\star) we find that

$$b^{t} \cdot b^{y} = b^{t} \cdot \sup\left\{b^{s} \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \leqslant y\right\} \leqslant b^{x+y}$$

Taking the supremum of the left-hand side over all rational $t \leq x$, using (\star) again we find that

$$b^{y} \cdot b^{x} = b^{y} \cdot \sup \left\{ b^{t} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \leqslant x \right\} \leqslant b^{x+y};$$

thus we establish that

$$b^x \cdot b^y \leqslant b^{x+y} \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{F} \tag{(\diamond\diamond)}$$

Now, note that $(\diamond\diamond)$ implies that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$b^{y} = b^{-x+x+y} \ge b^{-x} \cdot b^{x+y} = (b^{x})^{-1} \cdot b^{x+y} \ge (b^{x})^{-1} \cdot b^{x} \cdot b^{y} = b^{y}$$

The inequality above is indeed an equality and we obtain that

$$b^y = b^{-x} b^{x+y} \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{F}.$$

This is indeed $(\star\star)$ because of that $b^{-x} = (b^x)^{-1}$.

Next we show that $(b^x)^y = \sup B(x \cdot y)$ for all x > 0 and $y \in \mathbb{F}$. For z > 0, define $A(z) = \{s \in \mathbb{F} \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < s \leq z\}$. Note that if z > 0, then $b^z = \sup A(z)$. Since for x > 0, we have $b^x > 1$; thus for x, y > 0,

$$(b^x)^y = \sup\left\{ (b^x)^t \, \big| \, t \in \mathbb{Q}, \, 0 < t \le y \right\} = \sup_{t \in A(y)} (b^x)^t = \sup_{t \in A(y)} \left(\sup_{s \in A(x)} b^s \right)^t.$$

By Problem 4 of Exercise 2,

$$\sup_{t \in A(y)} \left(\sup_{s \in A(x)} b^s\right)^t = \sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} (b^s)^t = \sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} b^{st} = b^{\sup_{(t,s) \in A(y) \times A(x)} ts} = b^{xy}$$

4. Let $x_1 < x_2$ be given. Then **AP** implies that there exists $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x_1 < r < s < x_2$. Therefore, $B(x_1) \subseteq B(r) \subseteq B(s) \subseteq B(x_2)$; thus

$$b^{x_1} = \sup B(x_1) \leqslant \sup B(r) \leqslant \sup B(s) \leqslant \sup B(x_2) = b^{x_2}.$$

Since $B(r) = b^r$ and $B(s) = b^s$, we must have B(r) < B(s); thus 4 is concluded.

5. Since $\frac{y}{b^u} > 1$ and $\frac{b^v}{y} > 1$, by the fact that $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, there exist $N_1, N_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left|b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1\right| < \frac{y}{b^u}-1$$
 whenever $n \ge N_1$ and $\left|b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1\right| < \frac{b^v}{y}-1$ whenever $n \ge N_2$.

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. For $n \ge N$, we have $b^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{y}{b^u}$ and $b^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{b^v}{y}$ or equivalently,

$$b^{u+\frac{1}{n}} < y$$
 and $b^{v-\frac{1}{n}} > y$ $\forall n \ge N$

6. Let $A = \{ w \in \mathbb{F} \mid b^w < y \}$. Since b > 1, 2 of Problem 4 in Exercise 1 implies that

$$b^n > 1 + n(b-1)$$
 whenever $n \ge 2$. (***)

By **AP**, there exists $N \ge 2$ such that 1 + N(b-1) > y; thus A is bounded from above by N. Moreover, there exists $M \ge 2$ such that

$$1 + M(b-1) > \frac{1}{y};$$

thus $(\star\star\star)$ implies that $b^{-M} < y$ or $-N \in A$. Therefore, A is non-empty. By **LUBP**, we conclude that sup A exists.

Let $x = \sup A$. Then $x + \frac{1}{n} \notin A$; thus $b^{x + \frac{1}{n}} \ge y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \to 1$ sa $n \to \infty$, we find that

$$b^{x} = b^{x} \lim_{n \to \infty} b^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} b^{x + \frac{1}{n}} \ge y \,.$$

On the other hand, 4 implies that $x - \frac{1}{n} \in A$; thus $b^{x-\frac{1}{n}} > y$ for all $n \in \infty$ and we have

$$b^x = b^x \lim_{n \to \infty} b^{-\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} b^{x - \frac{1}{n}} \leqslant y$$

Therefore, $b^x = y$.

Problem 2. Let $(\mathbb{F}, +\cdot, \leq)$ be an ordered field satisfying the monotone sequence property. In this problem we prove the Intermediate Value Theorem:

Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{F}$ be continuous (at every point of [a, b]); that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n\right) \quad \text{for all convergent sequence } \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, b].$ If f(a)f(b) < 0, then there exists $c \in [a, b]$ such that f(c) = 0.

Complete the following.

- 1. W.L.O.G, we can assume that f(a) < 0. Define the set $S = \{x \in [a, b] | f(x) > 0\}$. Show that inf S exists.
- 2. Let $c = \inf S$. Show that $f(c) \ge 0$.
- 3. Conclude that $f(c) \leq 0$ as well.

Hint:

- 1. Show that S is non-empty and bounded from below and note that $MSP \Leftrightarrow LUBP$.
- 2. Show that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in S such that $c_n \to c$ as $n \to \infty$.
- 3. Show that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in [a, c) such that $c_n \to c$ as $n \to \infty$.
- *Proof.* 1. Since f(b) > 0, $b \in S$. Moreover, a is a lower bound for S; thus S is non-empty and bounded from below. Since **MSP** \Leftrightarrow **LUBP**, inf $S \in \mathbb{F}$ exists.
 - 2. Let $c = \inf S$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c_n < c + \frac{1}{n}$ and $c_n \in S$. Then $f(c_n) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$c \leq c_n < c + \frac{1}{n} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the Sandwich Lemma implies that $c_n \to c$ as $n \to \infty$. By the continuity of f,

$$f(c) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(c_n) \ge 0$$

3. By 2, $a \neq c$. Consider the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by $c_n = c - \frac{c-a}{n}$. Then $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, c)$. Moreover, by the fact that $c = \inf S$ and $c_n < c$, $c_n \notin S$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $f(c_n) \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $c_n \to c$ as $n \to \infty$, by the continuity of f we find that

$$f(c) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(c_n) \le 0.$$

Problem 3. Let $(\mathbb{F}, +\cdot, \leq)$ be an ordered field satisfying the monotone sequence property. In this problem we prove the Extreme Value Theorem:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, a < b and $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{F}$ be continuous (at every point of [a, b]); that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n\right) \quad \text{for all convergent sequence } \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, b].$ Then there exist $c, d \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ and $f(d) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

Complete the following.

1. Show that there exist sequences $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in [a, b] such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(c_n) = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f(d_n) = \inf_{x \in [a,b]} f(x).$$

- 2. Extract convergent subsequences $\{c_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with limit c and d, respectively. Show that $c, d \in [a, b]$.
- 3. Show that $f(c) = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} f(x)$ and $f(d) = \inf_{x \in [a,b]} f(x)$.

Hint: For 2, note that $MSP \Rightarrow BWP$.

Proof. It suffices to show the case of $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} f(x)$ since $\inf_{x \in [a,b]} f(x) = -\sup_{x \in [a,b]} (-f)(x)$ by Problem 1 of Exercise 2.

1. We first show that f([a, b]) is bounded. Suppose the contrary that f([a, b]) is not bounded. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(x_n)| > n$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, b]$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. By the fact that $\mathbf{MSP} \Rightarrow \mathbf{BWP}$, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. By the continuity of f, $\{f(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is also convergent; thus Proposition 1.39 in the lecture note implies that $\{f(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, a contradiction to that $|f(x_{n_k})| \ge n_k \ge k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since f([a, b]) is bounded, $M = \sup f([a, b]) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ exists. For each $n \in \mathbb{F}$, there exists $c_n \in [a, b]$ such that

$$M - \frac{1}{n} < f(c_n) \le M \,.$$

By the Sandwich Lemma, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(c_n) = M = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} f(x).$

- 2. Since $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [a, b], \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. By the fact that $\mathbf{MSP} \Rightarrow \mathbf{BWP}$, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{c_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with limit c. Since $a \leq c_{n_k} \leq b$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by a Proposition that we talked about in class we conclude that $a \leq c \leq b$.
- 3. Since $c_{n_k} \to c$ as $k \to \infty$, the continuity of f implies that

$$f(c) = f(\lim_{k \to \infty} c_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f(c_{n_k}) = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} f(x) \,.$$

Problem 4. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences in \mathbb{R} . Prove the following inequalities:

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n$$
$$\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n;$$
$$\left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} |x_n|\right) \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} |y_n|\right) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} |x_n y_n| \leq \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} |x_n|\right) \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} |y_n|\right)$$
$$\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n y_n| \leq \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n|\right) \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} |y_n|\right).$$

Give examples showing that the equalities are generally not true.

Proof. 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Note that for $n \ge k$, we have

$$\inf_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \le x_n + y_n \le \sup_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \,.$$

Note that the LHS and the RHS are functions of k and is independent of n. Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \ge k} \left[\inf_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) - y_n \right] \le \inf_{n \ge k} x_n \le \inf_{n \ge k} \left[\sup_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) - y_n \right]$$

which further shows that

$$\inf_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) - \sup_{n \ge k} y_n \le \inf_{n \ge k} x_n \le \sup_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) - \sup_{n \ge k} y_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \leqslant \inf_{n \ge k} x_n + \sup_{n \ge k} y_n \leqslant \sup_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the first inequality follows from the fact that

$$\inf_{n \ge k} x_n + \inf_{n \ge k} y_n \le \inf_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \le \inf_{n \ge k} x_n + \sup_{n \ge k} y_n \le \sup_{n \ge k} (x_n + y_n) \le \sup_{n \ge k} x_n + \sup_{n \ge k} y_n$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Note that for $n \ge k$, we have

$$\inf_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \le |x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \le \sup_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right].$$

Note that the LHS and the RHS for functions of k and is independent of n. Therefore,

$$\inf_{n \ge k} \frac{\inf_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}} \le \inf_{n \ge k} |x_n| \le \inf_{n \ge k} \frac{\sup_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}}.$$

By the fact that

$$\inf_{n \ge k} \frac{1}{|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}} = \frac{1}{\sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k}\right)}$$

we find that

$$\frac{\inf_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{\sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right)} \le \inf_{n \ge k} |x_n| \le \inf_{n \ge k} \frac{\sup_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]}{\sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right)};$$

thus

$$\inf_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \le \inf_{n \ge k} |x_n| \sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \le \sup_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right]$$

The second inequality follows from the fact that

$$\inf_{n \ge k} |x_n| \inf_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq \inf_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \leq \inf_{n \ge k} |x_n| \sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right)$$
$$\leq \sup_{n \ge k} \left[|x_n| \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \leq \sup_{n \ge k} |x_n| \sup_{n \ge k} \left(|y_n| + \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$.

3. Let $x_n = 2 + \sin n$ and $y_n = 2 + \cos n$. Then $x_n, y_n > 0$, and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n = 1, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n = 3$$

By Problem 3, the set $\{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid x = k \pmod{2\pi}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $[0, 2\pi]$; thus for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{k_j} = k_j \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\{x_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converges to θ . This implies that for each $\theta \in [-1, 1]$, there exists a subsequence $\{\cos k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \cos n_j = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \sin n_j = \sin \theta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) = 4 - \sqrt{2}, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) = 4 + \sqrt{2},$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n y_n = \frac{9}{2} - 2\sqrt{2} \,, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n y_n = \frac{9}{2} + 2\sqrt{2} \,.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n < \liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) < \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n$$
$$< \limsup_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) < \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n$$

and

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \cdot \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n &< \liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n y_n) < \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \cdot \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n \\ &< \limsup_{n \to \infty} (x_n y_n) < \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \cdot \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n \end{split}$$

Therefore, the equalities are generally not true.

Problem 5. Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$$

Give examples to show that the equalities are not true in general. Is it true that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|}$ exists implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$ also exists?

Proof. W.L.O.G. we can assume that $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} > 0$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} < \infty$. Let $a = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$ and $b = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given such that $a - \varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists N > 0 such that

$$a - \varepsilon < \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} < b + \varepsilon \qquad \forall n \ge N.$$

Therefore,

$$(a-\varepsilon)|x_n| < |x_{n+1}| < (b+\varepsilon)|x_n| \qquad \forall n \ge N$$

which implies that if n > N,

$$|x_n| > (a-\varepsilon)|x_{n-1}| > (a-\varepsilon)^2|x_{n-2}| > \dots > (a-\varepsilon)^{n-N}|x_N|$$

and

$$|x_n| < (b+\varepsilon)|x_{n-1}| < (b+\varepsilon)^2|x_{n-2}| < \dots < (b+\varepsilon)^{n-N}|x_N|.$$

The inequality above implies that

$$(a-\varepsilon)^{1-\frac{N}{n}}\sqrt[n]{|x_N|} < \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} < (b+\varepsilon)^{1-\frac{N}{n}}\sqrt[n]{|x_N|}$$

thus

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[(a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[(b + \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right]$$

By Problem 4 of Exercise 1, $\lim_{n \to \infty} b^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ for all b > 0. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[(a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} (a - \varepsilon)^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} = a - \varepsilon = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} - \varepsilon$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[(b+\varepsilon)^{1-\frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} (b+\varepsilon)^{1-\frac{N}{n}} \sqrt[n]{|x_N|} = b+\varepsilon = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} + \varepsilon$$

Since the inequality above holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$$

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence defined by

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 2^{-n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\ 4^{-n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

or $x_n = (3 + (-1)^n)^{-n}$. Then $\sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = 3 + (-1)^n$ which shows that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|x_n|} = \frac{1}{2}$$

To compute the limit superior and limit inferior of $\frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|}$, we define

$$y_n = \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = \frac{(3+(-1)^{n+1})^{-n-1}}{(3+(-1)^n)^{-n}} = \frac{1}{3-(-1)^n} \left(\frac{3-(-1)^n}{3+(-1)^n}\right)^{-n}$$

and observe that $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_{2n} = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_{2n+1} = \infty$. Since $y_n \in [0,\infty)$, we conclude that 0 is the smallest cluster point of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ∞ is the largest "cluster point" of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This shows that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{|x_n|} = \infty.$$

Problem 6. Find $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \cos n$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \cos n$. **Hint**: First show that for all irrational α , the set

Hint: First show that for all irrational α , the set

 $S = \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \, \middle| \, x = k\alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

is dense in [0, 1]; that is, for all $y \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in S \cap (y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. Then choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ to conclude that

$$T = \left\{ x \in [0, 2\pi] \, \big| \, x = k \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is dense in $[0, 2\pi]$. To prove that S is dense in [0, 1], you might want to consider the following set

 $S_k = \left\{ x \in [0,1] \, \middle| \, x = \ell \alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } 1 \le \ell \le k+1 \right\}$

Note that there must be two points in S_k whose distance is less than $\frac{1}{k}$. What happened to (the multiples of) the difference of these two points?

Proof. Define $S_k = \{x \in [0,1] \mid x = \ell \alpha \pmod{1} \text{ for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq k+1\}$. Let $1 \leq \ell_1, \ell_2 \leq k+1$, and $x, y \in [0,1]$ satisfying that $x = \ell_1 \alpha \pmod{1}$ and $y = \ell_2 \alpha \pmod{1}$. Then by the fact that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$,

$$x = y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ell_1 \alpha = \ell_2 \alpha \pmod{1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\ell_1 - \ell_2) \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ell_1 - \ell_2 = 0$$

Therefore, there are (k + 1) distinct points in S_k (this also shows that each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to different point $x = k\alpha \pmod{1}$ in S). Moreover, $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ if $x \in S_k$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist x, y in S_k satisfying that $0 < |x - y| < \frac{1}{k}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$. By the discussion above, there exist $x, y \in S_n$ such that $0 < |x - y| < \varepsilon$. Suppose that $x = n_1 \alpha \pmod{1}$ and $y = n_2 \alpha \pmod{1}$, and define $m = |n_1 - n_2|$. The point $z \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $z = m\alpha \pmod{1}$ has the property that $z \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$. Therefore,

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists x \in S) (x \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)).$$

Let $y \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. The discussion above provides an $x \in (0, 1)$ such that $x = k\alpha$ (mod 1) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$. Then some constant multiple of x must belong to $(y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. If $\ell x \in (y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$, then $z = k\ell\alpha \pmod{1}$ in $(y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)$. This shows that S is dense in [0, 1].

Having established that S is dense in [0,1], we find that T is dense in $[0,2\pi]$. Therefore, for each $\theta \in [0,2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{m_j} = m_j \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\{x_{m_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0,2\pi]$ converges to θ . In particular, for each $\theta \in [0,2\pi]$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \cos m_j = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \sin m_j = \sin \theta;$$

thus we conclude that $\limsup_{m \to \infty} \cos m = 1$ and $\liminf_{m \to \infty} \cos m = -1$.