

Exercise Problem Sets 13

Jan. 01. 2021

Problem 1. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

1. Suppose that f is differentiable on U and the line segment joining x and y lies in U . Then there exist points c_1, \dots, c_m on that segment such that

$$f_i(y) - f_i(x) = (Df_i)(c_i)(y - x) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

2. Suppose in addition that U is convex. Show that for each $x, y \in U$ and vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, there exists c on the line segment joining x and y such that

$$v \cdot [f(x) - f(y)] = v \cdot (Df)(c)(x - y).$$

In particular, show that if $\sup_{x \in U} \|(Df)(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M$, then

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq M \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \quad \forall x, y \in U.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)x + ty$. Then by the chain rule, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, $(f_i \circ \gamma) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$; thus the mean value theorem (for functions of one real variable) implies that there exists $t_i \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f_i(y) - f_i(x) = (f_i \circ \gamma)(1) - (f_i \circ \gamma)(0) = (f_i \circ \gamma)'(t_i) = (Df_i)(c_i)(\gamma'(t_i)),$$

where $c_i = \gamma(t_i)$. Part 1 is concluded since $\gamma'(t_i) = y - x$.

For $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let $g(t) = v \cdot f(ty + (1 - t)x)$. Then $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable; thus the mean value theorem (for functions of one real variable) implies that there exists $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that

$$v \cdot [f(y) - f(x)] = g(1) - g(0) = g'(t_0) = v \cdot (Df)(t_0y + (1 - t_0)x)(x - y).$$

Letting $c = t_0y + (1 - t_0)x$, we conclude that $v \cdot [f(x) - f(y)] = v \cdot (Df)(c)(x - y)$.

Finally, let $v = f(y) - f(x)$. By the discussion above there exists $c \in \overline{xy}$ such that

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 = v \cdot [f(y) - f(x)] = v \cdot (Df)(c)(x - y).$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality further implies that

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \leq \|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|(Df)(c)(x - y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|(Df)(c)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)} \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Therefore, if $\sup_{x \in U} \|(Df)(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M$, we conclude that

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq M \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \quad \forall x, y \in U.$$

□

Problem 2. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and connected, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$. Show that f is constant in U .

Proof. First, we show that if $B(a, r)$ is a ball in U , then f is constant on U . In fact, by the fact that balls are convex set, Problem 1 implies that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \sup_{z \in B(a, r)} \|(Df)(z)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})} \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \quad \forall x, y \in B(a, r).$$

Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B(a, r)$, we find that $\|(Df)(z)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})} = 0$ for all $z \in B(a, r)$; thus $f(y) = f(x)$ for all $x, y \in B(a, r)$.

Suppose that $f = c$ in $B(a, r)$. Let $E = f^{-1}(\{c\})$. Note that the fact $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$ implies that Df is continuous on U ; thus f is continuously differentiable on U . In particular, f is continuous; thus $f^{-1}(\{c\})$ is closed relative to U . Suppose that $f^{-1}(\{c\}) = F \cap U$ for some closed set F in \mathbb{R}^n . Next we show that $U \setminus F = \emptyset$ so that $f = c$ on U .

Suppose the contrary that $U \setminus F \neq \emptyset$. Let $E_1 = U \cap F^c$ and $E_2 = U \cap F$. Then $U = E_1 \cup E_2$ and Problem 8 of Exercise 6 shows that

$$E_1 \cap \overline{E_2} \subseteq E_1 \cap \overline{F} = U \cap F^c \cap F = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, $\overline{E_1} \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$ for otherwise U is disconnected. This implies that there exists $x \in \overline{E_1} \cap E_2$; thus there exists $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq U \setminus F$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x \in U$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$; thus the convergence of $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ implies that there exists $N > 0$ such that $x_k \in B(x, \epsilon)$ for all $k \geq N$. By the discussion above, f is constant on $B(x, \epsilon)$; thus $f(x_k) = f(x) = c$ for all $k \geq N$, a contradiction to that $x_k \notin F$. \square

Problem 3. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $a_{ij} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions. Define $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $J = \det(A)$. Show that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_k} = \text{tr}\left(\text{Adj}(A) \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k}\right) \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

where for a square matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$, $\text{tr}(M)$ denotes the trace of M , $\text{Adj}(M)$ denotes the adjoint matrix of M , and $\frac{\partial M}{\partial x_k}$ denotes the matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $\frac{\partial m_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$.

Hint: Apply the chain rule to the composite function $F \circ g$ of maps $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) = (a_{11}(x), a_{12}(x), \dots, a_{nn}(x))$ and $F(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) = \det([a_{ij}])$. Check first what $\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{ij}}$ is.

Proof. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $\text{Adj}(A) = [c_{ij}]$. Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{ij}} = c_{ji}$ since the cofactor expansion implies that

$$\det(A) = a_{i1}c_{1i} + a_{i2}c_{2i} + \dots + a_{in}c_{ni} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Therefore, for $J = \det(A)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_k}(x) = \frac{\partial(F \circ g)}{\partial x_k}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{ij}}(g(x)) \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ji}(x) \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x)$$

and the result is concluded from the fact that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Adj}(A) \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k}\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ji} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$. \square

Problem 4. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable function such that $\frac{\partial^2 \psi_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ exists and is continuous in \mathbb{R}^n for each $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Suppose that $(D\psi)(x) \in \operatorname{GL}(n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and define $J = \det([D\psi])$ and $A = [D\psi]^{-1}$, where $[D\psi]$ is the Jacobian matrix of ψ . Write $[A] = [a_{ij}]$.

1. Show that for each $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, $a_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, and

$$\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k} = - \sum_{r,s=1}^n a_{ir} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_k \partial x_s} a_{sj}.$$

2. Show the Piola identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (J a_{ij})(x) = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } x \in U.$$

Proof. Note that since $A = [D\psi]^{-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^n a_{ir} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_s} = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_r} a_{rs} = \delta_{is},$$

where δ_{is} is the Kronecker delta.

1. The product rule implies that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial a_{ir}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_s} + a_{ir} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_k \partial x_s} \right) = 0;$$

thus

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ir}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_s} = - \sum_{r=1}^n a_{ir} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_k \partial x_s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{s=1}^n a_{sj} \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ir}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_s} = - \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^n a_{ir} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_k \partial x_s} a_{sj} = - \sum_{r,s=1}^n a_{ir} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_k \partial x_s} a_{sj},$$

and Part 1 follows from the fact that $\sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_s} a_{sj} = \delta_{rj}$ and $\sum_{r=1}^n \delta_{rj} \frac{\partial a_{ir}}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$.

2. Note that since $(D\psi) \in \operatorname{GL}(n)$, by the property of the adjoint matrix we obtain that

$$JA = \det([D\psi])[D\psi]^{-1} = \operatorname{Adj}([D\psi])$$

which implies that the (i, j) -entry of $\text{Adj}([D\psi])$ is Ja_{ij} . Therefore, using the result in Problem 3 shows that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i} = \text{tr}\left(\text{Adj}([D\psi])\frac{\partial [D\psi]}{\partial x_i}\right) = \sum_{r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial \psi_s}{\partial x_r} = \sum_{r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_r};$$

thus the product rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(Ja_{ij}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i}a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n J\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i,r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_r}a_{ij} - \sum_{i,r,s=1}^n Ja_{ir}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_s}a_{sj} \\ &= \sum_{i,r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_r}a_{ij} - \sum_{i,r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_r \partial x_i}a_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,r,s=1}^n Ja_{rs}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_r} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi_s}{\partial x_r \partial x_i}\right)a_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows from Clairaut's Theorem. \square

Problem 5. 1. If $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ are twice differentiable and $f(A) \subseteq B$, then for $a \in A$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, show that

$$\begin{aligned}D^2(g \circ f)(a)(u, v) \\ = (D^2g)(f(a))((Df)(a)(u), Df(a)(v)) + (Dg)(f(a))((D^2f)(a)(u, v)).\end{aligned}$$

2. If $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear map plus some constant; that is, $p(x) = Lx + c$ for some $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ is k -times differentiable, prove that

$$D^k(f \circ p)(a)(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(k)}) = (D^k f)(p(a))((Dp)(a)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(a)(u^{(k)})).$$

Problem 6. Let $f(x, y)$ be a real-valued function on \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose that f is of class \mathcal{C}^1 (that is, all first partial derivatives are continuous on \mathbb{R}^2) and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ exists and is continuous. Show that $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$ exists and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$.

Hint: Mimic the proof of Clairaut's Theorem.

Proof. Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For real numbers $h, k \neq 0$, define $Q : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q(h, k) = \frac{1}{hk} [f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) - f(a, b+k) + f(a, b)]$$

and

$$\psi(x, y) = f(x+h, y) - f(x, y).$$

Then $Q(h, k) = \frac{1}{hk} [\psi(a, b+k) - \psi(a, b)]$. By the mean value theorem (for functions of one real variable),

$$\begin{aligned}Q(h, k) &= \frac{1}{hk} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(a, b + \theta_1 k)k = \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a+h, b + \theta_1 k) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a, b + \theta_1 k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a + \theta_2 h, b + \theta_1 k)h = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a + \theta_2 h, b + \theta_1 k)\end{aligned}$$

for some function $\theta_1 = \theta_1(h, k)$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_2(h, k)$ satisfying $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, 1)$. Since $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ is continuous, we find that

$$\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} Q(h, k) = \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a + \theta_2 h, b + \theta_1 k) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b).$$

On the other hand, since the limit $\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} Q(h, k)$ exists,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b) &= \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} Q(h, k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} Q(h, k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k} \left[\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b+k)}{h} - \frac{f(a+h, b) - f(a, b)}{h} \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(b+k) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(b) \right]; \end{aligned}$$

thus the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_x(a, b+k) - f_x(a, b)}{k}$ exists and equals $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b)$. By the definition of partial derivatives, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(a, b)$ exists and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(a, b) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b)$. \square

Problem 7. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of class \mathcal{C}^k and $(D^j f)(a) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, but $(D^k f)(a)(u, u, \dots, u) < 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \neq 0$. Show that f has a local maximum at a ; that is, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leq f(a) \quad \forall x \in B(a, \delta).$$

Proof. See Theorem 5.73 in Lecture Note. \square