量子計算的數學基礎 MA5501*

Ching-hsiao Cheng 量子計算的數學基礎 MA5501*

Chapter 7. Grover's Search Algorithm

§7.1 The Search Problem

§7.2 Grover's Algorithm

§7.3 Amplitude Amplification

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

臣

§7.1 The Search Problem

The search problem: For $N = 2^n$, we are given an arbitrary $x \in \{0,1\}^N$. The goal is to find an *i* such that $x_i = 1$ (and to output 'no solutions' if there are no such *i*). We denote the number of solutions in x by t (that is, t is the Hamming weight of x). This problem may be viewed as a simplification of the problem of searching an *N*-slot unordered database. Classically, a randomized algorithm would need $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries to solve the search problem. Grover's algorithm solves it in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$ queries, and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N}\log_2 N)$ other gates.

• • = • • = •

§7.1 The Search Problem

The search problem: For $N = 2^n$, we are given an arbitrary $x \in \{0,1\}^N$. The goal is to find an *i* such that $x_i = 1$ (and to output 'no solutions' if there are no such *i*). We denote the number of solutions in *x* by *t* (that is, *t* is the Hamming weight of *x*). This problem may be viewed as a simplification of the problem of searching an *N*-slot unordered database. Classically, a randomized algorithm would need $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries to solve the search problem. Grover's algorithm solves it in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$ queries, and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N}\log_2 N)$ other gates.

.

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x+}$. Note that

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x,+}$. Note that

・ロッ ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ロ ・

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x,+}$. Note that 1 Grover iterate makes 1 query, and uses $\mathcal{O}(\log_2 N)$ other gates.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x,+}$. Note that 1 Grover iterate makes 1 query, and uses $\mathcal{O}(\log_2 N)$ other gates. Grover's algorithm starts in the *n*-bit state $|0^n\rangle$, applies a Hadamard transformation to each qubit to get the uniform superposition, applies \mathcal{G} to this state k times (for some k to be chosen later), and then measures the final state. Intuitively, what happens is that in each

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x,+}$. Note that 1 Grover iterate makes 1 query, and uses $\mathcal{O}(\log_2 N)$ other gates. Grover's algorithm starts in the *n*-bit state $|0^n\rangle$, applies a Hadamard transformation to each qubit to get the uniform superposition, applies \mathcal{G} to this state k times (for some k to be chosen later), and then measures the final state. Intuitively, what happens is that in each iteration some amplitude is moved from the indices of the 0-bits to the indices of the 1-bits. The algorithm stops when almost all of

・ロ・ ・回・ ・ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

Let $O_{x,\pm}|i\rangle = (-1)^{x_i}|i\rangle$ denote the \pm -type oracle for the input x, and R be the unitary transformation that puts a -1 in front of all basis states $|i\rangle$ whenever $i \neq 0$, and that does nothing to the basis state $|0^n\rangle$. The Grover iterate is $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{RH}^{\otimes n}\mathrm{O}_{x,+}$. Note that 1 Grover iterate makes 1 query, and uses $\mathcal{O}(\log_2 N)$ other gates. Grover's algorithm starts in the *n*-bit state $|0^n\rangle$, applies a Hadamard transformation to each qubit to get the uniform superposition, applies \mathcal{G} to this state k times (for some k to be chosen later), and then measures the final state. Intuitively, what happens is that in each iteration some amplitude is moved from the indices of the 0-bits to the indices of the 1-bits. The algorithm stops when almost all of the amplitude is on the 1-bits, in which case a measurement of the final state will probably give the index of a 1-bit.

The following figure illustrates the Grover algorithm.



Figure 1: Grover's algorithm, with k Grover iterates

To analyze this, define the following "good" and "bad" states:

$$|G\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=1\}} |i\rangle$$
 and $|B\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-t}} \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=0\}} |i\rangle$.

where $t = \#\{i | x_i = 1\}$. Then the uniform state over all indices edges can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |U\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Big(\sum_{\{i \mid x_i=1\}} + \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=0\}} \Big) |i\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Big(\sqrt{t} \, |G\rangle + \sqrt{N-t} \, |B\rangle \Big) = \sin \theta |G\rangle + \cos \theta |B\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

ere $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{t}{N}}.$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

wh

To analyze this, define the following "good" and "bad" states:

$$|G\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=1\}} |i\rangle$$
 and $|B\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-t}} \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=0\}} |i\rangle.$

where $t = \#\{i | x_i = 1\}$. Then the uniform state over all indices edges can be written as

$$\begin{split} |U\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Big(\sum_{\{i \mid x_i=1\}} + \sum_{\{i \mid x_i=0\}} \Big) |i\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Big(\sqrt{t} \, |G\rangle + \sqrt{N-t} \, |B\rangle \Big) = \sin \theta |G\rangle + \cos \theta |B\rangle, \\ \text{ere } \theta &= \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{t}{N}}. \end{split}$$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The Grover iterate G is actually the product of two reflections (in the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$):

 $O_{\mathsf{x},\pm} \text{ is a reflection through } |B\rangle: \text{ since } \langle \mathcal{G}|B\rangle = 0 \text{ and }$

$$O_{x,\pm}(a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle) = -a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle.$$

2 $\mathbb{H}^{\otimes n} \mathbb{R} \mathbb{H}^{\otimes n}$ is a reflection through $|U\rangle$: first the reflection through a unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as $2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - I$ since

 $(2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|-\mathbf{I})|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle - (|\phi\rangle - \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle)$

and note that $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto span $(|\psi\rangle)$ and $| \phi \rangle - \langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto the space perpendicular to $| \psi \rangle$. Therefore, $R = 2 | 0^n \rangle \langle 0^n | - I$ so that

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}(2|\mathbf{0}^n\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}^n| - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = 2|U\rangle\langle U| - \mathbf{I}$

The Grover iterate G is actually the product of two reflections (in the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$):

() $O_{x,\pm}$ is a reflection through $|B\rangle$: since $\langle G|B\rangle = 0$ and

$$O_{x,\pm}(a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle) = -a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle.$$

② H^{⊗n}RH^{⊗n} is a reflection through $|U\rangle$: first the reflection through a unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as $2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - I$ since

 $(2|\psi \times \langle \psi| - \mathbf{I})|\phi\rangle = \langle \psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle - (|\phi\rangle - \langle \psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle)$

and note that $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto span $(|\psi\rangle)$ and $| \phi \rangle - \langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto the space perpendicular to $| \psi \rangle$. Therefore, $R = 2 | 0^n \rangle \langle 0^n | - I$ so that

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}(2|\mathbf{0}^n\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}^n| - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = 2|U\rangle\langle U| - \mathbf{I}$

The Grover iterate G is actually the product of two reflections (in the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$):

() $O_{x,\pm}$ is a reflection through $|B\rangle$: since $\langle G|B\rangle = 0$ and

$$O_{x,\pm}(a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle) = -a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle.$$

3 $H^{\otimes n}RH^{\otimes n}$ is a reflection through $|U\rangle$: first the reflection through a unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as $2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - I$ since

 $(2|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|-\mathbf{I})|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle - (|\phi\rangle - \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle)$

and note that $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto span $(|\psi\rangle)$ and $| \phi \rangle - \langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto the space perpendicular to $| \psi \rangle$. Therefore, $\mathbf{R} = 2 | 0^n \rangle \langle 0^n | - \mathbf{I}$ so that

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}(2|\mathbf{0}^n\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}^n| - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = 2|U\rangle\langle U| - \mathbf{I}$

The Grover iterate G is actually the product of two reflections (in the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$):

() $O_{x,\pm}$ is a reflection through $|B\rangle$: since $\langle G|B\rangle = 0$ and

$$O_{x,\pm}(a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle) = -a|G\rangle + b|B\rangle.$$

② $H^{\otimes n}RH^{\otimes n}$ is a reflection through $|U\rangle$: first the reflection through a unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as $2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - I$ since

 $(2|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|-\mathbf{I})|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle - (|\phi\rangle - \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle)$

and note that $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto span $(|\psi\rangle)$ and $|\phi\rangle - \langle \psi | \phi \rangle |\psi\rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $|\phi\rangle$ onto the space perpendicular to $|\psi\rangle$. Therefore, $R = 2|0^n\rangle\langle 0^n| - I$ so that

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}(2|\mathbf{0}^n\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}^n| - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = 2|U\rangle\langle U| - \mathbf{I}$

The Grover iterate G is actually the product of two reflections (in the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$):

 $O_{\mathsf{x},\pm} \text{ is a reflection through } |B\rangle: \text{ since } \langle \mathcal{G}|B\rangle = 0 \text{ and }$

$$\mathrm{O}_{\mathsf{x},\pm} \big(\mathsf{a} | \mathsf{G} \rangle + \mathsf{b} | \mathsf{B} \rangle \big) = -\mathsf{a} | \mathsf{G} \rangle + \mathsf{b} | \mathsf{B} \rangle.$$

② $H^{\otimes n}RH^{\otimes n}$ is a reflection through $|U\rangle$: first the reflection through a unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as $2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - I$ since

 $(2|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|-\mathbf{I})|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle - (|\phi\rangle - \langle\psi|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle)$

and note that $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto span $(|\psi \rangle)$ and $| \phi \rangle - \langle \psi | \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle$ is the orthogonal projection of $| \phi \rangle$ onto the space perpendicular to $| \psi \rangle$. Therefore, $R = 2|0^n \rangle \langle 0^n| - I$ so that

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}(2|\mathbf{0}^n \not\smallsetminus \mathbf{0}^n| - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = 2|U \not\smallsetminus U| - \mathbf{I}.$

Here is Grover's algorithm restated, assuming we know the fraction of solutions is $\varepsilon = t/N$:

- Set up the starting state $|U\rangle = \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}|0^n\rangle$.
- 2 Repeat the following $k = \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ times:
 - (a) Reflect through $|B\rangle$ (that is, apply $O_{x,\pm}$).
 - **(b)** Reflect through $|U\rangle$ (that is, apply $\mathbb{H}^{\otimes n}\mathbb{R}\mathbb{H}^{\otimes n}$).
- Measure the first register and check that the resulting *i* is a solution.

(4回) (4回) (日)

Geometric argument: There is a fairly simple geometric argument why the algorithm works. The analysis is in the 2-dimensional real plane spanned by $|B\rangle$ and $|G\rangle$. We start with $|U\rangle = \sin \theta |G\rangle + \cos \theta |B\rangle$: The two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle from θ to 3θ , moving us towards the good state, as illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: The first iteration of Grover: (left) start with $|U\rangle$, (middle) reflect through $|B\rangle$ to get $O_{x,\pm}|U\rangle$, (right) reflect through $|U\rangle$ to get $\mathcal{G}|U\rangle$

★ E ► < E ►</p>

Geometric argument: There is a fairly simple geometric argument why the algorithm works. The analysis is in the 2-dimensional real plane spanned by $|B\rangle$ and $|G\rangle$. We start with $|U\rangle = \sin \theta |G\rangle + \cos \theta |B\rangle$: The two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle from θ to 3θ , moving us towards the good state, as illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: The first iteration of Grover: (left) start with $|U\rangle$, (middle) reflect through $|B\rangle$ to get $O_{x,\pm}|U\rangle$, (right) reflect through $|U\rangle$ to get $\mathcal{G}|U\rangle$

< 注→ < 注→ -

The next two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle with another 2θ , etc. More generally, after *k* applications of (a) and (b) our state has become

 $\sin((2k+1)\theta)|G\rangle + \cos((2k+1)\theta)|B\rangle.$

If we now measure, the probability of seeing a solution is $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta)$. We want P_k to be as close to 1 as possible. Note that if we can choose $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$, then $(2\tilde{k}+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and hence $P_{\tilde{k}} = \sin^2\frac{\pi}{2} = 1$. An example where this works is if t = N/4, for then $\theta = \pi/6$ and $\tilde{k} = 1$. Unfortunately $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ will usually not be an integer, and we can only do an integer number of Grover iterations.

ヘロマ 人間マ 人間マ 人間マ

The next two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle with another 2θ , etc. More generally, after *k* applications of (a) and (b) our state has become

 $\sin((2k+1)\theta)|G\rangle + \cos((2k+1)\theta)|B\rangle.$

If we now measure, the probability of seeing a solution is $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta)$. We want P_k to be as close to 1 as possible. Note that if we can choose $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$, then $(2\tilde{k}+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and hence $P_{\tilde{k}} = \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$. An example where this works is if t = N/4, for then $\theta = \pi/6$ and $\tilde{k} = 1$. Unfortunately $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ will usually not be an integer, and we can only do an integer number of Grover iterations.

The next two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle with another 2θ , etc. More generally, after *k* applications of (a) and (b) our state has become

 $\sin((2k+1)\theta)|G\rangle + \cos((2k+1)\theta)|B\rangle.$

If we now measure, the probability of seeing a solution is $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta)$. We want P_k to be as close to 1 as possible. Note that if we can choose $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$, then $(2\tilde{k}+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and hence $P_{\tilde{k}} = \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$. An example where this works is if t = N/4, for then $\theta = \pi/6$ and $\tilde{k} = 1$. Unfortunately $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ will usually not be an integer, and we can only do an integer number of Grover iterations.

The next two reflections (a) and (b) increase the angle with another 2θ , etc. More generally, after *k* applications of (a) and (b) our state has become

 $\sin((2k+1)\theta)|G\rangle + \cos((2k+1)\theta)|B\rangle.$

If we now measure, the probability of seeing a solution is $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta)$. We want P_k to be as close to 1 as possible. Note that if we can choose $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$, then $(2\tilde{k}+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and hence $P_{\tilde{k}} = \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$. An example where this works is if t = N/4, for then $\theta = \pi/6$ and $\tilde{k} = 1$. Unfortunately $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ will usually not be an integer, and we can only do an integer number of Grover iterations.

However, if we choose k to be the integer closest to k, then our final state will still be close to $|G\rangle$ and the failure probability will still be small (assuming $t \ll N$):

$$1 - P_k = \cos^2((2k+1)\theta) = \cos^2((2\widetilde{k}+1)\theta + 2(k-\widetilde{k})\theta)$$
$$= \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2(k-\widetilde{k})\theta\right)$$
$$= \sin^2(2(k-\widetilde{k})\theta) \leq \sin^2(\theta) = \frac{t}{N},$$

where we used $|\mathbf{k} - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}| \leq 1/2$. Since $\arcsin(\theta) \geq \theta$, the number of queries is $k \leq \frac{\pi}{4\theta} \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{\frac{N}{t}}$.

• • = • • = •

However, if we choose k to be the integer closest to k, then our final state will still be close to $|G\rangle$ and the failure probability will still be small (assuming $t \ll N$):

$$1 - P_k = \cos^2((2k+1)\theta) = \cos^2((2\tilde{k}+1)\theta + 2(k-\tilde{k})\theta)$$
$$= \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2(k-\tilde{k})\theta\right)$$
$$= \sin^2(2(k-\tilde{k})\theta) \le \sin^2(\theta) = \frac{t}{N},$$

where we used $|k - \tilde{k}| \leq 1/2$. Since $\arcsin(\theta) \geq \theta$, the number of queries is $k \leq \frac{\pi}{4\theta} \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{\frac{N}{t}}$.

• • = • • = •

Algebraic argument: Let a_k denote the amplitude of the indices of the t 1-bits after k Grover iterates, and b_k the amplitude of the indices of the 0-bits so that $t|a_k|^2 + (N-t)|b_k|^2 = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Initially, for the uniform superposition $|U\rangle$ we have $a_0 = b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$. Since

 $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{H}_{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R} = \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, -1, \cdots, -1),$ $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} = \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] - \mathbf{I}, \text{ where } \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] \text{ is the } N \times N \text{ matrix in which all entries are } \frac{2}{N}; \text{ thus we find the following recursion:}$ $a_{k+1} = \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_{k} + (N-t)b_{k}\right] + a_{k} = \frac{N-2t}{N}a_{k} + \frac{2(N-t)}{N}b_{k},$ $b_{k+1} = \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_{k} + (N-t)b_{k}\right] - b_{k} = \frac{-2t}{N}a_{k} + \frac{N-2t}{N}b_{k}.$

・ロ・ ・回・ ・ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

Algebraic argument: Let a_k denote the amplitude of the indices of the *t* 1-bits after *k* Grover iterates, and b_k the amplitude of the indices of the 0-bits so that $t|a_k|^2 + (N-t)|b_k|^2 = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Initially, for the uniform superposition $|U\rangle$ we have $a_0 = b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$. Since

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} &= \mathbf{H}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \cdots, -1) \,, \\ \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} &= \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] - \mathbf{I}, \text{ where } \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] \text{ is the } N \times N \text{ matrix in which all entries are } \frac{2}{N}; \text{ thus we find the following recursion:} \\ a_{k+1} &= \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_k + (N-t)b_k \right] + a_k = \frac{N-2t}{N}a_k + \frac{2(N-t)}{N}b_k \,, \\ b_{k+1} &= \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_k + (N-t)b_k \right] - b_k = \frac{-2t}{N}a_k + \frac{N-2t}{N}b_k \,. \end{split}$$

Algebraic argument: Let a_k denote the amplitude of the indices of the *t* 1-bits after *k* Grover iterates, and b_k the amplitude of the indices of the 0-bits so that $t|a_k|^2 + (N-t)|b_k|^2 = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Initially, for the uniform superposition $|U\rangle$ we have $a_0 = b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$. Since

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n} &= \mathrm{H}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{R} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, \cdots, -1) \,, \\ \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n} &= \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] - \mathrm{I}, \text{ where } \left[\frac{2}{N}\right] \text{ is the } N \times N \text{ matrix in which all entries are } \frac{2}{N}; \text{ thus we find the following recursion:} \\ a_{k+1} &= \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_k + (N-t)b_k \right] + a_k = \frac{N-2t}{N}a_k + \frac{2(N-t)}{N}b_k \,, \\ b_{k+1} &= \frac{2}{N} \left[-ta_k + (N-t)b_k \right] - b_k = \frac{-2t}{N}a_k + \frac{N-2t}{N}b_k \,. \end{split}$$

With $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{t/N}$ as before, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\theta) & 2\cos^2\theta \\ -2\sin^2\theta & \cos(2\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

By matrix diagonalization, we find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\theta) & 2\cos^2\theta \\ -2\sin^2\theta & \cos(2\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1},$$
thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ b_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin(2k+1)\theta/\sin\theta \\ \cos(2k+1)\theta/\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

With $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{t/N}$ as before, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\theta) & 2\cos^2\theta \\ -2\sin^2\theta & \cos(2\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

By matrix diagonalization, we find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix};$$
hus

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ b_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin(2k+1)\theta/\sin\theta \\ \cos(2k+1)\theta/\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

With $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{t/N}$ as before, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\theta) & 2\cos^2\theta \\ -2\sin^2\theta & \cos(2\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

By matrix diagonalization, we find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{2i\theta} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix};$$

thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_k \\ \mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}^{-2i\theta} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \cos\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_0 \\ \mathbf{b}_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin(2k+1)\theta/\sin\theta \\ \cos(2k+1)\theta/\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Therefore, we obtain the following formulas for a_k and b_k :

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\sin((2k+1)\theta)$$
 and $b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-t}}\cos((2k+1)\theta)$.

Accordingly, after k iterations the success probability (the sum of squares of the amplitudes of the locations of the t 1-bits) is the same as in the geometric analysis

$$P_k = t \cdot a_k^2 = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta).$$

Thus assuming t is known we have a bounded-error quantum search algorithm with $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N/t})$ queries.

白マイド・ドレー

We now list (without proofs) a number of useful variants of Grover:

- If we know t exactly, the algorithm can be tweaked to end up in exactly the good state. Roughly speaking, you can make the angle θ slightly smaller, such that $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ becomes an integer.
- 2 If we do not know t, then there is a problem: we do not know which k to use so we do not know when to stop doing the Grover iterates. Note that if k gets too big, the success probability $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta))$ goes down again! However, a slightly more complicated algorithm (basically running the above algorithm with systematic different guesses for k) shows that an expected number of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N/t})$ queries still suffices to find a solution if there are t solutions.

We now list (without proofs) a number of useful variants of Grover:

- If we know t exactly, the algorithm can be tweaked to end up in exactly the good state. Roughly speaking, you can make the angle θ slightly smaller, such that $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ becomes an integer.
- ② If we do not know t, then there is a problem: we do not know which k to use so we do not know when to stop doing the Grover iterates. Note that if k gets too big, the success probability $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta))$ goes down again! However, a slightly more complicated algorithm (basically running the above algorithm with systematic different guesses for k) shows that an expected number of $O(\sqrt{N/t})$ queries still suffices to find a solution if there are t solutions.

We now list (without proofs) a number of useful variants of Grover:

- If we know t exactly, the algorithm can be tweaked to end up in exactly the good state. Roughly speaking, you can make the angle θ slightly smaller, such that $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$ becomes an integer.
- If we do not know t, then there is a problem: we do not know which k to use so we do not know when to stop doing the Grover iterates. Note that if k gets too big, the success probability $P_k = \sin^2((2k+1)\theta))$ goes down again! However, a slightly more complicated algorithm (basically running the above algorithm with systematic different guesses for k) shows that an expected number of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N/t})$ queries still suffices to find a solution if there are t solutions.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- If we know a lower bound τ on the actual (possibly unknown) number of solutions *t*, then the algorithm in ② uses an expected number of $O(\sqrt{N/\tau})$ queries. If we run this algorithm for up to three times its expected number of queries, then (by Markov's inequality) with probability at least 2/3 it will produce a solution. This way we can turn an expected runtime into a worst-case runtime.
- If we do not know t but would like to reduce the probability of not finding a solution to some small ε > 0, then we can do this using O(√Nlog(1/ε)) queries. The important part here is that the log(1/ε) is inside the square-root; usual error reduction by O(log(1/ε)) repetitions of basic Grover would give the worse upper bound of O(√Nlog(1/ε)) queries.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン・ ・ ヨン

- If we know a lower bound τ on the actual (possibly unknown) number of solutions t, then the algorithm in (2) uses an expected number of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N/\tau})$ queries. If we run this algorithm for up to three times its expected number of queries, then (by Markov's inequality) with probability at least 2/3 it will produce a solution. This way we can turn an expected runtime into a worst-case runtime.
- If we do not know t but would like to reduce the probability of not finding a solution to some small ε > 0, then we can do this using O(√Nlog(1/ε)) queries. The important part here is that the log(1/ε) is inside the square-root; usual error reduction by O(log(1/ε)) repetitions of basic Grover would give the worse upper bound of O(√Nlog(1/ε)) queries.

・ロ と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と ・ 日 と

The analysis that worked for Grover's algorithm is actually much more generally applicable. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0,1\}$ be any Boolean function; inputs $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\chi(z) = 1$ are called solutions. Suppose we have an algorithm to check whether z is a solution. This can be written as a unitary O_{γ} that maps $|z\rangle$ to $(-1)^{\chi(z)}|z\rangle$. Suppose also we have some (quantum or classical) algorithm \mathcal{A} that uses no intermediate measurements and has probability p of finding a solution when applied to starting state $|0\rangle$. Classically, we would have to repeat \mathcal{A} roughly 1/p times before we find a solution.

The amplitude amplification algorithm below only needs to run ${\cal A}$ and ${\cal A}^{-1}~{\cal O}(1/\sqrt{\rho})$ times:

- Setup the starting state $|U\rangle = \mathcal{A}|0\rangle$.
- **2** Repeat the following $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{p})$ times:
 - (a) Reflect through $|B\rangle$ (that is, apply O_{χ}).
 - **(b)** Reflect through $|U\rangle$ (that is, apply ARA^{-1}).
- Measure the first register and check that the resulting element x is marked.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Defining $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{p}$ and good and bad states $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ in analogy with the earlier geometric argument for Grover's algorithm, the same reasoning shows that amplitude amplification indeed finds a solution with high probability. This way, we can speed up a very large class of classical heuristic algorithms: any algorithm that has some non-trivial probability of finding a solution can be amplified to success probability nearly 1 (provided we can efficiently check solutions; that is, implement O_{γ}). Note that the Hadamard transform

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・

Defining $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{p}$ and good and bad states $|G\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ in analogy with the earlier geometric argument for Grover's algorithm, the same reasoning shows that amplitude amplification indeed finds a solution with high probability. This way, we can speed up a very large class of classical heuristic algorithms: any algorithm that has some non-trivial probability of finding a solution can be amplified to success probability nearly 1 (provided we can efficiently check solutions; that is, implement O_{γ}). Note that the Hadamard transform $\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}$ can be viewed as an algorithm with success probability p = t/Nfor a search problem of size N with t solutions, because $\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}|0^n\rangle$ is the uniform superposition over all N locations. Hence Grover's algorithm is a special case of amplitude amplification, where $O_{\chi} = O_{\chi,+}$ and $A = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n}$