# 微積分 MA1002-A 上課筆記(精簡版) 2019.05.16.

Ching-hsiao Arthur Cheng 鄭經斅

### Theorem 13.41: Implicit Function Theorem (Special case)

Let F be a function of n variables  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $F_{x_1}, F_{x_2}, \dots, F_{x_n}$  are continuous in a neighborhood of  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . If  $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$  and  $F_{x_n}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ , then locally near  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  there exists a unique continuous function f satisfying  $F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = 0$  and  $a_n = f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Moreover, for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = -\frac{F_{x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))}{F_{x_n}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))}.$$

#### Theorem 13.69: Lagrange Multiplier Theorem - Simplest Version

Let f and g be continuously differentiable functions of two variables. Suppose that on the level curve g(x,y) = c the function f attains its extrema at  $(x_0,y_0)$ . If  $(\nabla g)(x_0,y_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then there is a real value  $\lambda$  such that

$$(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0) = \lambda(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0).$$

**Remark 13.70.** The scalar  $\lambda$  in the theorem above is called a Lagrange multiplier.

Proof of Theorem 13.69. First we note that  $(x_0, y_0)$  is on the level curve g(x, y) = c; thus  $c = g(x_0, y_0)$ .

Define  $F(x,y) = g(x,y) - g(x_0,y_0)$ . Then F has continuous first partial derivatives, and  $(\nabla F)(x_0,y_0) = (\nabla g)(x_0,y_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then either  $F_x(x_0,y_0) \neq 0$  or  $F_y(x_0,y_0) \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $F_y(x_0,y_0) \neq 0$ . Then the Implicit Function Theorem implies that there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a unique differentiable function  $h: (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$F(x, h(x)) = 0$$
 and  $y_0 = h(x_0)$ .

In other words, the set  $\{(x, h(x)) \mid x_0 - \delta < x < x_0 + \delta\}$  is a subset of the level curve  $g(x, y) = g(x_0, y_0)$ . Therefore, the function  $G: (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by G(x) = f(x, h(x)) attains its extrema at (an interior point)  $x_0$ ; thus

$$G'(x_0) = f_x(x_0, y_0) + f_y(x_0, y_0)h'(x_0) = 0.$$

Since the implicit differentiation shows that

$$h'(x_0) = -\frac{F_x(x_0, h(x_0))}{F_y(x_0, h(x_0))} = -\frac{g_x(x_0, y_0)}{g_y(x_0, y_0)},$$

we conclude that

$$f_x(x_0, y_0) - f_y(x_0, y_0) \frac{g_x(x_0, y_0)}{g_y(x_0, y_0)} = 0.$$

If  $f_y(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , then  $f_x(x_0, y_0) = 0$  which implies that  $(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0) = \mathbf{0} = 0 \cdot (\nabla g)(x_0, y_0)$ . If  $f_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{f_x(x_0, y_0)}{f_y(x_0, y_0)} = \frac{g_x(x_0, y_0)}{g_y(x_0, y_0)}$$

which implies that  $(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0) / (\nabla g)(x_0, y_0)$ ; thus there exists  $\lambda$  such that

$$(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0) = \lambda(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0).$$

Similar argument can be applied to the case  $F_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , and we omit the proof for this case.

**Example 13.71.** Find the extreme value of f(x,y) = 4xy subject to the constraint

$$\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} = 1$$
.

Let  $g(x,y) = \frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} - 1$ . Suppose that on the level curve g(x,y) = 0 the function f attains its extrema at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Note that then  $(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$  (since  $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ ); thus the Lagrange Multiplier Theorem implies that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(4y_0, 4x_0) = (\nabla f)(x_0, y_0) = \lambda(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0) = \lambda(\frac{2x_0}{9}, \frac{y_0}{8}).$$

Therefore,  $(x_0, y_0)$  satisfies  $4y_0 = \frac{2\lambda x_0}{9}$  and  $4x_0 = \frac{\lambda y_0}{8}$ , as well as  $\frac{x_0^2}{9} + \frac{y_0^2}{16} = 1$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and

$$4x_0 = \frac{\lambda y_0}{8} = \frac{\lambda}{8} \cdot \frac{\lambda x_0}{18} = \frac{\lambda^2 x_0}{144}$$
.

The identity above implies that  $x_0 = 0$  or  $\lambda = \pm 24$ .

- 1. If  $x_0 = 0$ , then  $y_0 = \pm 4$  which shows that  $\lambda = 0$ , a contradiction.
- 2. If  $\lambda = \pm 24$ , then  $x_0 = \pm \frac{3y_0}{4}$ ; thus

$$1 = \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{9y_0^2}{16} + \frac{y_0^2}{16} = \frac{y_0^2}{8}.$$

Therefore,  $y_0 = \pm 2\sqrt{2}$  which implies that  $x_0 = \pm \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$ . At these  $(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $f(x_0, y_0) = \pm 24$ . Therefore, on the ellipse  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} = 1$  the maximum of f is 24 (at  $(x_0, y_0) = (\pm 2\sqrt{2}, \pm \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2})$ ) and the minimum of f is -24 (at  $(x_0, y_0) = (\pm 2\sqrt{2}, \pm \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2})$ ).

**Example 13.72.** Find the extreme value of f(x,y) = 4xy, where x > 0 and y > 0, subject to the constraint  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} = 1$ . From the previous example we find that the maximum of f is 24 (at  $(x_0, y_0) = (2\sqrt{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2})$ ). The minimum of f occurs at the end-points (0, 4) or (3, 0). In either points, the value of f is 0; thus the minimum of f is 0.

**Example 13.73.** Find the extreme value of f(x,y) = 4xy, where (x,y) satisfies  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} \le 1$ . We have find the extreme value of f, under the constraint  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} = 1$ , is  $\pm 24$ . Therefore, it suffices to consider the extreme value of f in the interior  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} < 1$ .

Assume that f attains its extreme value at an interior point  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Then  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a critical point of f; thus

$$f_x(x_0, y_0) = f_y(x_0, y_0) = 0$$

which implies that  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$ . Since f(0, 0) = 0, f(0, 0) is not an extreme value of f. Therefore, the extreme value of f on the region  $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{16} \le 1$  is  $\pm 24$ .

We note that (0,0) in fact is a saddle point of f since  $f_{xx}(0,0)f_{yy}(0,0) - f_{xy}(0,0)^2 = -16 < 0$ .

Similar argument of proving Theorem 13.69 can be used to show the following

#### Theorem 13.74

Let f and g be continuously differentiable functions of n variables. Suppose that on the level curve  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c$  the function f attains its extrema at  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . If  $(\nabla g)(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then there is a real value  $\lambda$  such that

$$(\nabla f)(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \lambda(\nabla g)(a_1, \cdots, a_n).$$

**Example 13.75.** Find the minimum value of  $f(x, y, z) = 2x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2$  subject to the constraint 2x - 3y - 4z = 49.

Let g(x, y, z) = 2x - 3y - 4z - 49. Then  $(\nabla g) \neq \mathbf{0}$ ; thus if f attains its relative extrema at  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \lambda(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ . Therefore,

$$(4x_0, 2y_0, 6z_0) = \lambda(2, -3, -4)$$

or equivalently,  $\lambda = 2x_0 = -\frac{2}{3}y_0 = -\frac{3}{2}z_0$ . Since  $2x_0 - 3y_0 - 4z_0 = 49$ , we find that  $\lambda = 6$  which implies that

$$(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (3, -9, -4).$$

Since f grows beyond any bound as  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$  approaches  $\infty$ , we find that f(3, -9, -4) = 147 is the minimum of f.

Next, we consider the optimization problem of finding the extreme value of a function of three variables w = f(x, y, z) subject to two constraints g(x, y, z) = h(x, y, z) = 0.

## Theorem 13.76: Lagrange Multiplier Theorem - More General Version

Let f, g and h be continuously differentiable functions of three variables. Suppose that subject to the constraints  $g(x,y,z)=c_1$  and  $h(x,y,z)=c_2$  the function f attains its extrema at  $(x_0,y_0,z_0)$ . If  $(\nabla g)(x_0,y_0,z_0)\times(\nabla h)(x_0,y_0,z_0)\neq \mathbf{0}$ , then there are real numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$(\nabla f)(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \lambda(\nabla g)(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \mu(\nabla h)(x_0, y_0, z_0).$$