

## Exercise Problem Sets 6

Apr. 02. 2021

**Problem 1.** Define  $B$  to be the set of all even functions in the space  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ ; that is,  $f \in B$  if and only if  $f$  is continuous on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f(x) = f(-x)$  for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Prove that  $B$  is closed but not dense in  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ . Hence show that even polynomials are dense in  $B$ , but not in  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $B$  and  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Then  $f$  is continuous. Moreover, for each  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(-x) = f(-x);$$

thus  $f$  is even. Therefore,  $f \in B$  which shows that  $B$  is closed. However,  $B$  is not dense in  $B$  since there exists no  $f \in B$  satisfying that

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - x| < \frac{1}{2}$$

since

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - x| \geq \max\{|f(1) - 1|, |f(-1) + 1|\} = \max\{|f(1) - 1|, |f(1) + 1|\} \geq 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the collection of even polynomials, and  $f$  be an even continuous function. Then the Weierstrass Theorem implies that there exists a sequence of polynomial  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(\sqrt{x}) - p_n(x)| = 0.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $q_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $q_n(x) = p_n(x^2)$ . Then  $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - q_n(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - p_n(x^2)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(\sqrt{x}) - p_n(x)| = 0$$

which shows that  $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ; thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $B$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B$ , we must have  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \bar{B} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$  which implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function.

1. Suppose that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Show that  $f = 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

2. Suppose that for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Show that  $f(x) = 0$  has at least  $(m + 1)$  distinct real roots around which  $f(x)$  change signs.

*Proof.* 1. By the Weierstrass Theorem, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a polynomial  $p_k$  such that  $\|f - p_k\|_\infty < \frac{1}{k}$ . Since  $\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we find that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)p_k(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that  $f(f - p_k)$  converges to the zero function uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  since

$$\|f(f - p_k)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \|f - p_k\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{k} \|f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty;$$

thus by the fact that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx = \int_0^1 f(x)[f(x) - p_k(x)] dx,$$

we find that  $\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx = 0$ . Therefore, by the continuity of  $f$ , we conclude that  $f = 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

2. Let

$$D = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{if } f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } f \text{ changes signs only around } 0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k < 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{then } y = f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) \text{ does not change sign} \right\}.$$

Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$  changes sign only around  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ . Then  $y = f(x)(x - \alpha_1)$  does not change sign so that  $1 \in D$ . Assume that  $k \in D$ . If  $f$  changes signs only around  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{k+1} < 1$ , then the function  $y = f(x)(x - \alpha_{k+1})$  changes signs only around  $0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k < 1$ ; thus  $y = f(x)(x - \alpha_{k+1}) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) = f(x) \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (x - \alpha_j)$  does not change sign which shows that  $k + 1 \in D$ . By induction, we conclude that  $D = \mathbb{N}$ .

Now suppose the contrary that  $f(x) = 0$  has at most  $m$  distinct real roots  $0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k < 1$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , around which  $f(x)$  changes signs. Then  $y = f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j)$  does not change sign. W.L.O.G., we assume that  $f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then by the fact that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}.$$

and  $k \leq m$ , we find that

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) dx = 0;$$

thus the sign-definite property and the continuity of the function  $y = f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j)$  implies that  $f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $f(x) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  or equivalently,  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ . The continuity of  $f$  further implies that  $f = 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , a contradiction to that  $f$  has at most  $m$  distinct real roots around which  $f$  changes signs.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(nx) dx = 0.$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^k \sin(nx) dx = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let

$$D = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^k \sin(nx) dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Then  $0 \in D$  and  $1 \in D$  since

$$\int_0^1 \sin(nx) dx = \frac{-\cos(nx)}{n} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} = \frac{\cos 0 - \cos n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\int_0^1 x \sin(nx) dx = \frac{-x \cos(nx)}{n} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \cos(nx) dx = -\frac{\cos n}{n} + \frac{\sin n}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose that  $\{0, 1, \dots, k\} \subseteq D$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^{k+1} \sin(nx) dx &= -\frac{x^{k+1} \cos(nx)}{n} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} + \frac{k+1}{n} \int_0^1 x^k \cos(nx) dx \\ &= -\frac{\cos n}{n} + \frac{k+1}{n} \left[ \frac{x^k \sin(nx)}{n} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} - \frac{k}{n} \int_0^1 x^{k-1} \sin(nx) dx \right] \\ &= -\frac{\cos n}{n} + \frac{(k+1) \sin n}{n^2} - \frac{(k+1)k}{n^2} \int_0^1 x^{k-1} \sin(nx) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By induction,  $D = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Having established that  $D = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we immediately conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 p(x) \sin(nx) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all polynomial } p.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a polynomial  $p$  such that  $\|f - p\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

By the fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 p(x) \sin(nx) dx = 0$ , there exists  $N > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_0^1 p(x) \sin(nx) dx \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{whenever } n \geq N.$$

Therefore, if  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(nx) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 [f(x) - p(x)] \sin(nx) dx \right| + \left| \int_0^1 p(x) \sin(nx) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|f - p\|_\infty dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which establishes that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(nx) dx = 0$ . □

**Problem 4.** Put  $p_0 = 0$  and define

$$p_{k+1}(x) = p_k(x) + \frac{x^2 - p_k^2(x)}{2} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Show that  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to  $|x|$  on  $[-1, 1]$ .

**Hint:** Use the identity

$$|x| - p_{k+1}(x) = [|x| - p_k(x)] \left[1 - \frac{|x| + p_k(x)}{2}\right]$$

to prove that  $0 \leq p_k(x) \leq p_{k+1}(x) \leq |x|$  if  $|x| \leq 1$ , and that

$$|x| - p_k(x) \leq |x| \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right)^k < \frac{2}{k+1}$$

if  $|x| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq p_k(x) \leq p_{k+1}(x) \leq |x| \forall x \in [-1, 1]\}$ . By the fact that  $p_1(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$  and  $0 \leq p_1(x) \leq |x|$  for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , we find that  $p_2(x) = p_1(x) + \frac{|x|^2 - p_1(x)^2}{2} \geq p_1(x)$ . Therefore,  $1 \in D$ .

Assume that  $k \in D$ . Then the identity

$$p_{k+1}(x) = p_k(x) + \frac{x^2 - p_k^2(x)}{2} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

implies that  $p_{k+1}(x) \geq p_k(x) \geq 0$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Moreover, using the identity

$$|x| - p_{k+1}(x) = [|x| - p_k(x)] \left[1 - \frac{|x| + p_k(x)}{2}\right], \quad (\star)$$

we find that if  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$|x| - p_{k+1}(x) \geq [|x| - p_k(x)] \left[1 - \frac{|x| + |x|}{2}\right] = [|x| - p_k(x)](1 - |x|) \geq 0;$$

thus  $p_{k+1}(x) \leq |x|$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Therefore,  $k+1 \in D$  so that  $D = \mathbb{N}$  by induction.

Using  $(\star)$  again, we find that

$$0 \leq |x| - p_k(x) = [|x| - p_{k-1}(x)] \left[1 - \frac{|x| + p_{k-1}(x)}{2}\right] \leq [|x| - p_{k-1}(x)] \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N};$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |x| - p_k(x) &\leq [|x| - p_{k-1}(x)] \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right) \leq [|x| - p_{k-2}(x)] \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq [|x| - p_0(x)] \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right)^k = |x| \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that  $|x| \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{2}\right)^k \leq \frac{2}{k+1}$  for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |p_k(x) - |x|| = 0$$

which shows that  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to  $y = |x|$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . □

**Problem 5.** Suppose that  $p_n$  is a sequence of polynomials converging uniformly to  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $f$  is not a polynomial. Prove that the degrees of  $p_n$  are not bounded.

**Hint:** An  $N$ th-degree polynomial  $p$  is uniquely determined by its values at  $N + 1$  points  $x_0, \dots, x_N$  via Lagrange's interpolation formula

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \pi_k(x) \frac{p(x_k)}{\pi_k(x_k)},$$

where  $\pi_k(x) = (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_N)/(x - x_k) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq k}} (x - x_j)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary that there exists a sequence of polynomial  $\{p_n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\deg(p_n) \leq N$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . W.L.O.G. we assume that

$$\|p_n - f\|_{\infty} < 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $|p_n(x)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} + 1$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\deg(p_n) \leq N$ , using the Lagrange interpolation formula with  $x_k = k/N$ , we have

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \pi_k(x) \frac{p(x_k)}{\pi_k(x_k)} = \sum_{j=0}^N a_{jn} x^j.$$

Let  $[N/2]$  denote the largest integer smaller than  $N/2$ . Note that

$$|\pi_k(x_k)| = \frac{k}{N} \cdot \frac{k-1}{N} \cdots \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdots \frac{N-k}{N} \geq \frac{[N/2]!}{N^N}$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{p(x_k)}{\pi_k(x_k)} \right| \leq \frac{(\|f\|_{\infty} + 1)N^N}{[N/2]}.$$

Moreover,  $\pi_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j x^j$  with  $|c_j| \leq C_{[N/2]}^N$ . Therefore,

$$|a_{jn}| \leq \frac{(\|f\|_{\infty} + 1)N^N}{[N/2]} C_{[N/2]}^N (N + 1) \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq N \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In other words, the coefficients of each  $p_n$  is bounded by a fixed constant. This allows us to pick a subsequence  $\{p_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{jn_k} = a_j \text{ exists for all } 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

This implies that  $\{p_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to the polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j x^j$  since  $\{p_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges pointwise to  $p$  and  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  so that  $\{p_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ . On the other hand, since  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we conclude that  $f = p$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Problem 6.** Consider the set of all functions on  $[0, 1]$  of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{b_j x},$$

where  $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is this set dense in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ ?

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{b_j x} \mid a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ . Then

1.  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra since if  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{b_j x}$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k e^{d_k x}$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{b_j x} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m c_k e^{d_k x} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_j c_k e^{(b_j + d_k)x} = \sum_{\ell=1}^N A_\ell e^{B_\ell x}$$

for some  $A_\ell, B_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ , and clearly,  $f + g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $cf \in \mathcal{A}$  if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2.  $\mathcal{A}$  separates points of  $[0, 1]$  since the function  $f(x) = e^x \in \mathcal{A}$  which is strictly monotone so that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  for all  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .
3.  $\mathcal{A}$  vanishes at no point of  $[0, 1]$  since the function  $f(x) = e^x \in \mathcal{A}$  which is non-zero at every point of  $[0, 1]$ .

By the Stone Theorem,  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ . □