

Problem 22. Let $I : \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$I(f) = \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx.$$

Show that I is differentiable at every “point” $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$.

Hint: Figure out what $(DI)(f)$ is by computing $I(f + h) - I(f)$, where $h \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ is a “small” continuous function.

Remark. A map from a space of functions such as $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ to a scalar field such as \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} is usually called a **functional**. The derivative of a functional I is usually denoted by δI instead of DI .

Proof. For each $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$, define $L_f(h) = 2 \int_0^1 f(x)h(x)dx$.

claim: $L_f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of claim: It is trivial that $L_f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$. Let $h \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$|L_f(h)| \leq 2 \int_0^1 |f(x)||h(x)|dx \leq 2\|f\|_\infty\|h\|_\infty;$$

thus

$$\|L_f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}([0,1];\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})} = \sup_{\|h\|_\infty=1} |L_f(h)| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Claim: $\lim_{\|h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0} \frac{|I(f+h) - I(f) - L_f(h)|}{\|h\|_\infty} = 0$.

Proof of claim: Since

$$\begin{aligned} |I(f+h) - I(f) - L_f(h)| &= \left| \int_0^1 [(f(x)+h(x))^2 - f(x)^2 - 2f(x)h(x)] dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 h(x)^2 dx \right| \leq \|h\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned}$$

by the sandwich lemma we conclude that

$$0 \leq \lim_{\|h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0} \frac{|I(f+h) - I(f) - L_f(h)|}{\|h\|_\infty} \leq \lim_{\|h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|h\|_\infty^2}{\|h\|_\infty} = 0.$$

Therefore, I is differentiable at f , and $(DI)(f)(h) = L_f(h)$. □

Problem 30. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. Assume that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq f'(x) \leq f(x)$. Show that $g(x) = e^{-x}f(x)$ is decreasing. If f vanishes at some point, conclude that f is zero.

Proof. To see that g is decreasing, we compute the derivative of g and find that

$$g'(x) = -e^{-x}f(x) + e^{-x}f'(x) = e^{-x}(f'(x) - f(x)) \leq 0;$$

thus g is a decreasing function. Now suppose that $f(c) = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. Since g is decreasing, $g(x) \leq g(c) = 0$ for all $x \geq c$; thus $f(x) = e^x g(x) = 0$ for all $x \geq c$.
2. Since $f'(x) \geq 0$, f is an increasing function, thus $f(x) \leq f(c) = 0$ for all $x \leq c$. Since f is assumed to be non-negative, we must have $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \leq c$.

Combining 1 and 2, we conclude that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Problem 32. 1. If $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ are twice differentiable and $f(A) \subseteq B$, then for $x_0 \in A$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, show that

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(g \circ f)(x_0)(u, v) &= (D^2g)(f(x_0))((Df)(x_0)(u), Df(x_0)(v)) + (Dg)(f(x_0))((D^2f)(x_0)(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

2. If $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear map plus some constant; that is, $p(x) = Lx + c$ for some $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ is k -times differentiable, prove that

$$D^k(f \circ p)(x_0)(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(k)}) = (D^k f)(p(x_0))((Dp)(x_0)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(x_0)(u^{(k)})).$$

Proof. 1. First of all, we show that $g \circ f$ is twice differentiable. Since g and f are both differentiable, the chain rule implies that $g \circ f$ is differentiable and

$$D(g \circ f)(x) = (Dg)(f(x))(Df)(x) = \left((Dg) \circ f \right) (Df)(x).$$

Since g and f are twice differentiable, Dg and Df are differentiable. By the chain rule again, $(Dg) \circ f$ is differentiable; thus the product rule implies that $((Dg) \circ f)(Df)$ is differentiable. Therefore, $g \circ f$ is twice differentiable.

Now by Proposition 6.69 in 共筆, we have

$$D^2(g \circ f)(x_0)(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2(g \circ f)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_0) u_i v_j.$$

By the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2(g \circ f)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_0) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} \frac{\partial(g \circ f)}{\partial x_i}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x)) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x)) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_\ell \partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x_0) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_0); \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^2(g \circ f)(x_0)(u, v) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k,\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_\ell \partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x_0) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_0) \right] u_i v_j \\
&= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_\ell \partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}(x_0) v_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x_0) u_i \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x_0)) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_0) u_i v_j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $((Df)(x_0)(w))_r$ denote the r -th component of $(Df)(x_0)(w)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^2(g \circ f)(x_0)(u, v) \\
&= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_\ell \partial y_k}(f(x_0)) ((Df)(x_0)(v))_\ell ((Df)(x_0)(u))_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}(f(x_0)) (D^2 f)(x_0)(u, v)_k \\
&= (D^2 g)(f(x_0))((Df)(x_0)u, (Df)(x_0)v) + (Dg)(f(x_0))((D^2 f)(x_0)(u, v)).
\end{aligned}$$

2. The validity of the desired equality for the case $k = 1$ is the chain rule. Suppose that the desired holds for $k = K$. Then for $k = K + 1$, by Corollary 6.70 in 共筆 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{K+1}(f \circ p)(x_0)(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K+1)}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} (D^K(f \circ p))(x)(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K)}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} (D^K f)(p(x))((Dp)(x)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(x)(u^{(k)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $(Dp)(x)(u^r) = Lu^{(r)}$ (which is independent of x), by Proposition 6.69 in 共筆 we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D^K f)(p(x))((Dp)(x)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(x)(u^{(K)})) \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K=1}^n \frac{\partial^K f}{\partial y_{j_K} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x)) (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(Lu^r)_s$ denotes the s -th component of the vector $Lu^{(r)}$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} (D^K f)(p(x)) ((Dp)(x)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(x)(u^{(K)})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=x_0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K=1}^m \frac{\partial^K f}{\partial y_{j_K} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x)) (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K, j_{K+1}=1}^m \frac{\partial^{K+1} f}{\partial y_{j_{K+1}} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x_0)) \frac{\partial p_{j_{K+1}}}{\partial x_j}(x_0) (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K} \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K, j_{K+1}=1}^m \frac{\partial^{K+1} f}{\partial y_{j_{K+1}} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x_0)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{(K+1)} \frac{\partial p_{j_{K+1}}}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right) (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K} \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K, j_{K+1}=1}^m \frac{\partial^{K+1} f}{\partial y_{j_{K+1}} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x_0)) ((Dp)(x_0)u^{(K+1)})_{j_{K+1}} (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K} \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K, j_{K+1}=1}^m \frac{\partial^{K+1} f}{\partial y_{j_{K+1}} \cdots \partial y_{j_1}}(p(x_0)) (Lu^{(1)})_{j_1} \cdots (Lu^{(K)})_{j_K} (Lu^{(K+1)})_{j_{K+1}} \\
&= (D^{K+1} f)(p(x_0)) ((Dp)(x_0)(u^{(1)}), \dots, (Dp)(x_0)(u^{(K+1)}))
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the validity of the desired equality for the case $k = K + 1$. \square

Problem 34. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be differentiable, and Df is a constant map in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$; that is, $(Df)(x_1)(u) = (Df)(x_2)(u)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that f is a linear term plus a constant and that the linear part of f is the constant value of Df .

Proof. Since Df is a constant map, Df is continuous; thus $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$. Therefore, the Taylor Theorem implies that

$$f(x) = f(0) + (Df)(c)(x - 0)$$

for some c on the line segment joining x and 0 . Let $L = (Df)(c)$. Then

$$f(x) = f(0) + L(x - 0) = Lx + f(0). \quad \square$$

Problem 38. Prove Corollary 7.5; that is, show that if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 , and $(Df)(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, then $f(\mathcal{W})$ is open for every open set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ be an open set. For each $x \in \mathcal{W}$, $(Df)(x)$ is invertible; thus the inverse function theorem implies that there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that

- (a) $D(x, \delta_x) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$; (b) $f(D(x, \delta_x))$ is open; (c) $f : D(x, \delta_x) \rightarrow f(D(x, \delta_x))$ is one-to-one and onto.

Since $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{W}} D(x, \delta_x)$,

$$f(\mathcal{W}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{W}} f(D(x, \delta_x))$$

is the union of infinitely many open sets; thus $f(\mathcal{W})$ is open. \square

Problem 40. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of class \mathcal{C}^1 , and for some $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f(a, b) = 0$ and $f_y(a, b) \neq 0$. Show that there exist open neighborhoods \mathcal{U} of a and \mathcal{V} of b such that every $y \in \mathcal{V}$ corresponds to a unique $x \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f(x, y) = 0$. In other words, there exists a function $y = y(x)$ such that $y(a) = b$ and $f(x, y(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let $z = (x, y)$ and $w = (u, v)$, where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $w = F(z)$, where F is given by $F(x, y) = (x, f(x, y))$. Then $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, and

$$[(DF)(z)] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_x(x, y) & f_y(x, y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note that the Jacobian of F at (a, b) is $f_y(a, b) \neq 0$, so the inverse function theorem implies that there exists open neighborhoods $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of (a, b) and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of $(a, f(a, b)) = (a, 0)$ such that

- (a) $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is one-to-one and onto;
- (b) the inverse function $F^{-1} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is of class \mathcal{C}^r ;
- (c) $(DF^{-1})(x, f(x, y)) = ((DF)(x, y))^{-1}$.

W.L.O.G. we can assume that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are open, and $a \in \mathcal{U}$, $b \in \mathcal{V}$.

Write $F^{-1}(u, v) = (\varphi(u, v), \psi(u, v))$, where $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$(u, v) = F(\varphi(u, v), \psi(u, v)) = (\varphi(u, v), f(u, \psi(u, v)))$$

which implies that $\varphi(u, v) = u$ and $v = f(u, \psi(u, v))$. Let $y(x) = \psi(x, 0)$. Then $(u, f(u)) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ is the unique point satisfying $f(u, y(u)) = 0$ if $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, and

$$f(x, y(x)) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Since $G(a, b) = (a, 0) = G(a, f(a))$, $(a, b), (a, f(a)) \in \mathcal{O}$, and $G : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is one-to-one, we must have $b = f(a)$. □